

# THE OPTICAL SPECTRA OF 24 $\mu\text{m}$ GALAXIES IN THE COSMOS FIELD. I. *SPITZER* MIPS BRIGHT SOURCES IN THE zCOSMOS-BRIGHT 10k CATALOG

K. I. CAPUTI,<sup>1</sup> S. J. LILLY,<sup>1</sup> H. AUSSSEL,<sup>2</sup> D. SANDERS,<sup>3</sup> D. FRAYER,<sup>4</sup> O. LE FÈVRE,<sup>5</sup> A. RENZINI,<sup>6</sup> G. ZAMORANI,<sup>7</sup>  
 M. SCODEGGIO,<sup>8</sup> T. CONTINI,<sup>9</sup> N. SCOVILLE,<sup>10</sup> C. M. CAROLLO,<sup>1</sup> G. HASINGER,<sup>11</sup> A. IOVINO,<sup>12</sup> V. LE BRUN,<sup>5</sup>  
 E. LE FLOC'H,<sup>3</sup> C. MAIER,<sup>1</sup> V. MAINIERI,<sup>13</sup> M. MIGNOLI,<sup>7</sup> M. SALVATO,<sup>4</sup> D. SCHIMINOVICH,<sup>14</sup>  
 J. SILVERMAN,<sup>1,11</sup> J. SURACE,<sup>4</sup> L. TASCA,<sup>5</sup> U. ABBAS,<sup>5</sup> S. BARDELLI,<sup>7</sup> M. BOLZONELLA,<sup>7</sup>  
 A. BONGIORNO,<sup>7</sup> D. BOTTINI,<sup>8</sup> P. CAPAK,<sup>10</sup> A. CAPPI,<sup>7</sup> P. CASSATA,<sup>5</sup> A. CIMATTI,<sup>7</sup>  
 O. CUCCIATI,<sup>12</sup> S. DE LA TORRE,<sup>5</sup> L. DE RAVEL,<sup>5</sup> P. FRANZETTI,<sup>8</sup> M. FUMANA,<sup>8</sup>  
 B. GARILLI,<sup>8</sup> C. HALLIDAY,<sup>15</sup> O. ILBERT,<sup>3</sup> P. KAMPCZYK,<sup>1</sup> J. KARTALTEPE,<sup>3</sup>  
 J.-P. KNEIB,<sup>5</sup> C. KNOBEL,<sup>1</sup> K. KOVAC,<sup>1</sup> F. LAMAREILLE,<sup>9</sup> A. LEAUTHAUD,<sup>5</sup>  
 J. F. LE BORGNE,<sup>9</sup> D. MACCAGNI,<sup>8</sup> C. MARINONI,<sup>12</sup> H. MCCrackEN,<sup>16</sup>  
 B. MENEUX,<sup>8</sup> P. OESCH,<sup>1</sup> R. PELLÒ,<sup>9</sup> E. PÉREZ-MONTERO,<sup>9</sup> C. PORCIANI,<sup>1</sup>  
 E. RICCIARDELLI,<sup>6</sup> R. SCARAMELLA,<sup>17</sup> C. SCARLATA,<sup>1</sup> L. TRESSE,<sup>5</sup>  
 D. VERGANI,<sup>8</sup> J. WALCHER,<sup>5</sup> M. ZAMOJSKI,<sup>14</sup> AND E. ZUCCA<sup>7</sup>

Received 2007 December 5; accepted 2008 March 6

## ABSTRACT

We study zCOSMOS-bright optical spectra for 609 *Spitzer* MIPS 24  $\mu\text{m}$ -selected galaxies with  $S_{24\mu\text{m}} > 0.30$  mJy and  $I < 22.5$  (AB mag) over  $1.5\text{ deg}^2$  of the COSMOS field. From emission-line diagnostics we find the following: (1) SFRs derived from the observed  $\text{H}\alpha$   $\lambda 6563$  and  $\text{H}\beta$   $\lambda 4861$  lines underestimate, on average, the total SFR by factors of  $\sim 5$  and  $10$ , respectively. (2) Both the Calzetti et al. and the Milky Way reddening laws are suitable to describe the extinction observed in IR sources in most cases. (3) Some IR galaxies at  $z < 0.3$  have low abundances, but many others with similar IR luminosities and redshifts are chemically enriched. (4) The average  $[\text{O III}] \lambda 5007/\text{H}\beta \lambda 4861$  ratios of  $\nu L_{\nu}^{24\mu\text{m}} > 10^{11} L_{\odot}$  galaxies at  $0.6 < z < 0.7$  are  $\sim 0.6$  dex higher than the average ratio of all zCOSMOS galaxies at similar redshifts. Massive star formation and AGNs could simultaneously be present in those galaxies with the highest ionizing fluxes. (5) Roughly  $\frac{1}{3}$  of the galaxies with metallicity measurements at  $0.5 < z < 0.7$  lie below the general mass-metallicity relation at the corresponding redshifts. The strengths of the 4000 Å break and the  $\text{H}\delta$  EW of our galaxies show that secondary bursts of star formation are needed to explain the spectral properties of most IR sources. The LIRG and ULIRG phases occur, on average, between  $10^7$  and  $10^8$  yr after the onset of a starburst on top of underlying older stellar populations. These results are valid for galaxies of different IR luminosities at  $0.6 < z < 1.0$  and seem independent of the mechanisms triggering star formation.

*Subject headings:* galaxies: abundances — galaxies: active — galaxies: evolution — infrared: galaxies

*Online material:* color figures

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Spectroscopic surveys are probably the finest diagnostics to probe the nature of galaxies. They provide accurate redshift determinations and directly reveal the physical and chemical processes governing galaxy evolution through cosmic time. The high cost of spectroscopic campaigns has prevented for many years the follow-up of a significant number of sources in large areas of the sky. Yet, extensive spectroscopic surveys are necessary in order to characterize in detail different galaxy populations in a representative way.

Among galaxy populations, infrared (IR) galaxies have been a subject of major interest in observational cosmology since long before the discovery of the extragalactic IR background (Puget

et al. 1996). The most recent determinations indicate that the integrated emission from IR galaxies has a significant contribution to the extragalactic background light, comparable to that produced at optical wavelengths (Dole et al. 2006). As signposts of star formation and active galactic nucleus (AGN) activity, IR galaxies play a major role in reconstructing galaxy formation, evolution, and the history of stellar mass assembly.

Surveys conducted with current-generation IR facilities, such as the *Spitzer Space Telescope* (Werner et al. 2004) and now also the *AKARI Telescope* (Matsuhara et al. 2006), are rapidly improving our understanding of the nature and evolution of IR galaxies up to high redshifts. It is now well established that luminous and ultraluminous IR galaxies (LIRGs and ULIRGs; Sanders &

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Astronomy, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology (ETH Hönggerberg), CH-8093 Zürich, Switzerland; caputi@phys.ethz.ch.

<sup>2</sup> CEA/DSM-CNRS, Université Paris Diderot, DAPNIA/SAP, Orme des Merisiers, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France.

<sup>3</sup> Institute for Astronomy, University of Hawaii, Honolulu, HI 96822.

<sup>4</sup> *Spitzer* Science Center, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125.

<sup>5</sup> Laboratoire d'Astrophysique de Marseille, France.

<sup>6</sup> Dipartimento di Astronomia, Università di Padova, Padova, Italy.

<sup>7</sup> INAF Osservatorio Astronomico di Bologna, Bologna, Italy.

<sup>8</sup> INAF-IASF Milano, Milan, Italy.

<sup>9</sup> Laboratoire d'Astrophysique de l'Observatoire Midi-Pyrénées, Toulouse, France.

<sup>10</sup> California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125.

<sup>11</sup> Max-Planck-Institut für extraterrestrische Physik, Garching, Germany.

<sup>12</sup> INAF Osservatorio Astronomico di Brera, Milano, Italy.

<sup>13</sup> European Southern Observatory, Garching, Germany.

<sup>14</sup> Department of Astronomy, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027.

<sup>15</sup> INAF Osservatorio Astrofisico di Arcetri, Florence, Italy.

<sup>16</sup> Institut d'Astrophysique de Paris, UMR 7095 CNRS, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, F-75014 Paris, France.

<sup>17</sup> INAF, Osservatorio di Roma, Monteporzio Catone (RM), Italy.

Mirabel 1996) are much more common at high ( $z \gtrsim 0.5$ ) redshifts than in the local universe, and the star formation rate (SFR) density strongly increases up to redshift  $z \sim 1$  (e.g., Le Floc'h et al. 2005; Caputi et al. 2006b, 2007). These results have been previously suggested by studies conducted with the *Infrared Space Observatory* (ISO) (e.g., Aussel et al. 1999; Chary & Elbaz 2001; Franceschini et al. 2001). In addition, multiwavelength photometric observations of IR galaxies have produced further information on their different properties: already assembled stellar masses (Daddi et al. 2005; Caputi et al. 2006a, 2006b; Papovich et al. 2006b), characteristic spectral energy distributions (SEDs; e.g., Dale et al. 2005; Rowan-Robinson et al. 2005; Rocca-Volmerange et al. 2007; Takagi et al. 2007; Bavouzet et al. 2008), and the AGN fraction among IR sources (e.g., Alonso-Herrero et al. 2006; Treister et al. 2006).

Spectroscopic data are necessary to address some other questions, such as, e.g., the chemical composition and metallicities of IR galaxies at different redshifts. Also, they are useful to understand the distribution of dust within a galaxy, by comparing the degree of reddening independently inferred from the IR flux and from the spectral line decrement. Finally, spectroscopic data can help to disentangle the presence of AGNs among IR galaxies.

Some of the first spectroscopic surveys of *Infrared Astronomical Satellite* (IRAS) sources studied the nuclear regions of nearby LIRGs and ULIRGs (Kim et al. 1995; Veilleux et al. 1995, 1999). Later, Franceschini et al. (2003), Flores et al. (2004), and Liang et al. (2004) studied the spectra of ISO-selected IR galaxies at  $z \sim 0.6$ – $0.7$ . More recently, Choi et al. (2006) analyzed spectroscopic SFRs and extinction properties of *Spitzer* sources with  $24\ \mu\text{m}$  detections. Papovich et al. (2006a) obtained spectroscopic redshifts for a very large sample of  $24\ \mu\text{m}$ -selected galaxies, but they presented a very limited analysis of the corresponding spectra. Even though all these works investigated different spectral properties of IR sources of different luminosities and redshifts, none of them provide a complete analysis of a large homogeneous sample of IR-selected galaxies from redshifts  $z \sim 0$  to  $\sim 1$ . This is the goal of this paper.

The Cosmic Evolution Survey (COSMOS; Scoville et al. 2007) has been designed to probe galaxy evolution, star formation, and the effects of large-scale structure up to high redshifts. The COSMOS field is defined by its *Hubble Space Telescope* (HST) Advanced Camera for Surveys (ACS)  $2\ \text{deg}^2$  coverage. There are multiple follow-up observations carried out in the COSMOS field, ranging from X-rays to radio wavelengths. Among the photometric imaging observations, COSMOS includes the full and homogeneous coverage of the field with the *Spitzer* Infrared Array Camera (IRAC; Fazio et al. 2004) and the Multiband Photometer for *Spitzer* (MIPS; Rieke et al. 2004), as part of *Spitzer* Cycle 2 and 3 Legacy Programs (Sanders et al. 2007).

COSMOS comprises also a large spectroscopic follow-up program being performed with the Visible Multiobject Spectrograph (VIMOS) on the Very Large Telescope (VLT): zCOSMOS (Lilly et al. 2007). The zCOSMOS survey consists of two parts: (1) the observation of an  $I < 22.5$  AB mag limited sample of 20,000 galaxies over  $1.7\ \text{deg}^2$  of the COSMOS field (zCOSMOS-bright) and (2) a sample of 10,000 galaxies in the central  $1\ \text{deg}^2$ , color selected to have redshifts  $1.4 < z < 3.0$  (zCOSMOS-deep).

This paper constitutes the first of a series of studies we are carrying out to analyze the optical spectral properties of  $24\ \mu\text{m}$ -selected galaxies in the COSMOS field up to high redshifts. Here we analyze the sample of 611  $24\ \mu\text{m}$  sources with  $S_{24\ \mu\text{m}} > 0.30\ \text{mJy}$  that have been observed so far with zCOSMOS-bright among a total of  $\sim 10,000$  (10k) sources. This is one of the

largest spectroscopic samples of mid-IR-selected galaxies analyzed to date in the redshift ranges  $0 < z \lesssim 1$  and  $0 < z \lesssim 3$  for normal galaxies and AGNs, respectively. The layout of this paper is as follows: In § 2 we describe in detail our data sets and the cross-correlation of  $24\ \mu\text{m}$  and zCOSMOS-bright sources. In § 3 we compute rest-frame mid-IR luminosities for our galaxies. We present our results on the analysis of the spectra in the following sections: mean and typical dispersion of the spectra in different redshift and IR luminosity bins (§ 4), comparison of different SFR indicators (§ 5), the study of dust properties of our sample (§ 6), and line ratio diagnostics and derived metallicities (§ 7). Later on, in § 8, we analyze the AGNs present in our sample. In § 9 we show how the optical spectra can put constraints on the average star formation histories (SFHs) of IR galaxies and, in § 10, we analyze galaxy candidates for being at the earliest stages of a burst of star formation. Finally, we summarize and discuss our results in § 11. We adopt throughout a cosmology with  $H_0 = 70\ \text{km s}^{-1}\ \text{Mpc}^{-1}$ ,  $\Omega_M = 0.3$ , and  $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.7$ .

## 2. DATA SETS

### 2.1. The zCOSMOS-Bright 10k Sample

The zCOSMOS survey (Lilly et al. 2007) is a very large spectroscopic program being performed with VIMOS (Le Fèvre et al. 2003) on the VLT. VIMOS is a multislit spectrograph with four noncontiguous quadrants that cover  $7 \times 8\ \text{arcmin}^2$  each. zCOSMOS is designed to have a uniform pattern of pointings and a multiple-pass strategy, which guarantee a uniform coverage of the field. We refer the reader to Lilly et al. (2007) for further technical details about this survey.

In zCOSMOS-bright, the targets are selected randomly from a complete  $I < 22.5$  AB mag catalog (the “parent catalog”). This part of the program includes also a set of fainter targets that have been selected for specific reasons, e.g., X-ray or radio sources. All the observations are performed with the  $R \sim 600$  VIMOS MR grism, with a spectral coverage of  $5500$ – $9500\ \text{\AA}$ .

At the moment of writing, roughly one-half of the zCOSMOS-bright targets have already been observed and their spectra have been reduced using the VIPGI software (Scodeggio et al. 2005). Although the data reduction is mostly an automated process, the redshift determination of each source is checked manually on an individual basis by two independent reducers and the results are reconciled later on. The resulting redshift catalog and set of reduced spectra for this first completed part of zCOSMOS-bright contains 10,644 sources over  $1.5\ \text{deg}^2$  (“the 10k sample” hereafter). A total of 10,580 out of these sources have  $I < 22.5$  AB mag (S. J. Lilly et al. 2008, in preparation).

The confidence in the redshift determination of each source is qualified with a flag, whose values can be 4 (completely secure redshift), 3 (very secure redshift, but with a very marginal possibility of error), 2 (a likely redshift, but with a significant possibility of error), 1 (possible redshift), 0 (no redshift determination), and 9 (redshift based on a single secure narrow line, which is usually [O II]  $\lambda 3727$  or H $\alpha$   $\lambda 6563$ ). The addition of +10 to the flag indicates a broad-line AGN (BLAGN).

In addition, photometric redshifts for the entire sample have been obtained with the Zurich Extragalactic Bayesian Redshift Analyzer (ZEBRA; Feldmann et al. 2006), using the multiple UV through near-IR wave band data available for the COSMOS field. Tests performed on objects with duplicate spectra of different quality show that good-quality photometric redshifts as those obtained with the COSMOS data sets can be useful to confirm less secure spectroscopic redshifts, such as those with flag = 2, 1, or 9 (see Lilly et al. 2007).




FIG. 1.—The zCOSMOS-bright 10k and the SCOSMOS/MIPS shallow sample coverage fields. [See the electronic edition of the Journal for a color version of this figure.]

### 2.2. The SCOSMOS MIPS Shallow 24 $\mu$ m Catalog

The SCOSMOS survey (Sanders et al. 2007) comprises IRAC 3.6, 4.5, 5.8, and 8.0  $\mu$ m and MIPS 24, 70, and 160  $\mu$ m observations including the entire 2 deg<sup>2</sup> of the COSMOS-ACS field, as part of the *Spitzer* Cycle 2 and 3 Legacy Programs. In Cycle 2, the COSMOS field has been mapped at 24  $\mu$ m down to a completeness flux density  $S_{24\mu\text{m}} = 0.30$  mJy (SCOSMOS-shallow). A smaller  $30 \times 20$  arcmin<sup>2</sup> region has been observed down to a flux density limit  $S_{24\mu\text{m}} \approx 0.08$  mJy as a verification field. The observations performed in Cycle 3 extend these deeper maps to the entire COSMOS area.

The source extraction on the 24  $\mu$ m maps has been performed with the IDL version of the DAOPHOT package. A point-spread function (PSF) fitting technique for measuring the photometry has been necessary to deal with blending problems. Further details on the SCOSMOS survey, as well as the data reduction and source detection procedures, are given by Sanders et al. (2007). The final SCOSMOS-shallow 24  $\mu$ m catalog contains 9807 sources with  $S_{24\mu\text{m}} > 0.30$  mJy over an area  $1.75 \times 1.97$  deg<sup>2</sup>. Out of them, 3150 sources lie within the zCOSMOS-bright 10k sample field (see Fig. 1).

We explain in detail the selection effect produced by the zCOSMOS-bright 10k sample in the next section and summarize the numbers of sources in Table 1.

### 2.3. Cross-Correlation of the Catalogs

We first checked the fraction of 24  $\mu$ m sources present in the zCOSMOS-bright parent catalog, i.e., the catalog from which the spectroscopic targets are taken randomly. This is necessary




FIG. 2.—Distributions of 24  $\mu$ m fluxes of all the SCOSMOS-shallow 24  $\mu$ m sources present in the zCOSMOS-bright 10k sample field (open histogram), those that have a counterpart in the zCOSMOS-bright parent catalog (thin line, shaded histogram), and those that have a good-quality redshift in the zCOSMOS-bright 10k catalog (thick line, shaded histogram). [See the electronic edition of the Journal for a color version of this figure.]

to assess how representative the zCOSMOS-bright 10k sample is for the identification of sources in SCOSMOS-shallow.

We cross-correlated the SCOSMOS-shallow 24  $\mu$ m catalog with the zCOSMOS-bright parent catalog, using a matching radius of 2". We found that 2084 out of 3150 ( $\sim 66\%$ ) 24  $\mu$ m sources have a counterpart in the zCOSMOS-bright parent catalog limited to  $I < 22.5$  AB mag. Four additional sources have fainter  $I$ -band counterparts that are also in the potential list of targets of zCOSMOS-bright. The 24  $\mu$ m sources with associations in the zCOSMOS-bright parent catalog have a similar 24  $\mu$ m flux distribution as the entire sample of 3150 24  $\mu$ m sources lying in the zCOSMOS-bright 10k sample field (see Fig. 2). Thus, the 24  $\mu$ m sources identified with zCOSMOS-bright objects can be considered as representative of the majority ( $\sim 66\%$ ) of the  $S_{24\mu\text{m}} > 0.30$  mJy population at different fluxes.

The 24  $\mu$ m sources without an association in the zCOSMOS-bright parent catalog have  $I > 22.5$  AB mag. Photometric redshifts obtained with ZEBRA for  $I < 24$  AB mag sources (P. Oesch et al. 2008, in preparation) indicate that the majority ( $\gtrsim 80\%$ ) of the MIPS-shallow sources not present in the zCOSMOS-bright parent catalog are at  $z > 1$ .

A total of 703 out of the 3150 24  $\mu$ m sources in the zCOSMOS-bright field have been observed so far and form part of the zCOSMOS-bright 10k sample.

TABLE 1  
THE NUMBERS OF 24  $\mu$ m GALAXIES WITH  $S_{24\mu\text{m}} > 0.30$  mJy THAT SATISFY THE DIFFERENT SELECTION CRITERIA IMPOSED BY THE zCOSMOS-BRIGHT SURVEY

Selection Criterion	Number of Sources
Sources in SCOSMOS shallow ( $1.75 \times 1.97$ deg <sup>2</sup> ) .....	9807
Sources in the zCOSMOS-bright 10k sample field ( $1.5$ deg <sup>2</sup> ) .....	3150
Sources with $I < 22.5$ AB mag .....	2084
Sources with associations in zCOSMOS-bright 10k sample .....	703
Secure or likely zCOSMOS-bright associations .....	668
Sources with secure zCOSMOS-bright spectra .....	611

NOTES.—Each category is included in the previous one. All associations have been done within a 2" matching distance.

Multiple associations are a relatively minor problem in the identification of 24  $\mu\text{m}$  sources. To verify the reliability of each zCOSMOS-bright counterpart, we cross-correlated the 24  $\mu\text{m}$  catalog with a general  $I < 25$  AB mag catalog available for the COSMOS field (Capak et al. 2007). In 35 out of 703 cases ( $< 5\%$ ), we found that there is an  $I < 25$  mag source that is closer to the 24  $\mu\text{m}$  centroid than the zCOSMOS-bright associated source. We assumed that the 24  $\mu\text{m}$ –zCOSMOS association was false in these cases, and we have not further considered these sources in our analysis. In another 73 out of 703 cases ( $\sim 10\%$ ), there is an  $I < 25$  mag source that is also within  $2''$  distance of the 24  $\mu\text{m}$  centroid but is farther away than the zCOSMOS counterpart. We considered these 24  $\mu\text{m}$ –zCOSMOS associations as “likely.” The inclusion of these sources does not introduce any significant change in our results. Finally, 595 out of 703 ( $\sim 85\%$ ) are secure one-to-one 24  $\mu\text{m}$ –zCOSMOS associations. The resulting sampling rate for the 24  $\mu\text{m}$  galaxies with  $I < 22.5$  AB mag is  $\sim \frac{1}{3}$ , similar to the overall sampling rate of the parent catalog achieved so far with the total 10k sample.

Having 668 24  $\mu\text{m}$  sources with secure or likely zCOSMOS-bright 10k associations, we had to determine how many of them had good-quality zCOSMOS spectroscopic redshifts and spectra. We found that 482 out of these 668 sources have a zCOSMOS redshift with quality flag 3 or 4. An additional 129 sources have less secure spectroscopic redshifts (flag = 2, 1, or 9), but which are confirmed by ZEBRA photometric redshifts. The analysis of a subset of repeated zCOSMOS spectra of different quality has showed that  $> 90\%$  of the spectroscopic redshifts with flag = 2, 1, or 9 that are confirmed by ZEBRA are correct (S. J. Lilly et al. 2008, in preparation).

In total, 611 out of the 668 (i.e.,  $> 90\%$ ) considered associations have secure redshifts and reasonable-quality spectra to perform line measurements. Their 24  $\mu\text{m}$  flux distribution is similar to that of all galaxies identified in the zCOSMOS-bright parent catalog (compare thin and thick line shaded histograms in Fig. 2). Two out of the 611 sources with secure redshifts have been identified with galactic stars. The remaining 609 galaxies constitute the sample analyzed in this work.

The photometric redshifts obtained with ZEBRA for the 57 spectroscopic failures suggest that 70% and 30% of them are at  $z < 1$  and  $z > 1$ , respectively.

### 3. REST-FRAME 24 $\mu\text{m}$ LUMINOSITIES

We classify the 609 24  $\mu\text{m}$  galaxies in our spectroscopic sample according to their rest-frame IR luminosities and zCOSMOS redshifts. We computed rest-frame 24  $\mu\text{m}$  luminosities  $\nu L_{\nu}^{24\mu\text{m}}$  using the observed 24  $\mu\text{m}$  fluxes, the zCOSMOS redshifts, and model-derived  $k$ -corrections, i.e.,  $\nu L_{\nu}^{24\mu\text{m}} = \nu 4\pi k(\lambda) S_{24\mu\text{m}} d_L^2(z)$ , where  $k(\lambda)$  is the  $k$ -correction,  $S_{24\mu\text{m}}$  is the 24  $\mu\text{m}$  flux, and  $d_L(z)$  is the luminosity distance. We show the rest-frame 24  $\mu\text{m}$  luminosities versus zCOSMOS redshifts for our galaxy sample in Figure 3.

We used the Lagache et al. (2003, 2004) IR SED models to compute the mid-IR  $k$ -corrections. As most of our galaxies lie at redshifts  $z < 1$ , the use of other models (e.g., Chary & Elbaz 2001; Dale & Helou 2002) would produce rest-frame 24  $\mu\text{m}$  luminosities that are consistent within a factor of 2. The discrepancies can be considerably larger at higher redshifts (Caputi et al. 2007).

Traditionally, it is preferred to classify IR galaxies according to their bolometric IR (5–1000  $\mu\text{m}$ ) luminosity  $L_{\text{bol}}^{\text{IR}}$ . However, we decided to classify our sample using their rest-frame 24  $\mu\text{m}$  luminosities  $\nu L_{\nu}^{24\mu\text{m}}$ , as the monochromatic<sup>18</sup> IR luminosity is a less

<sup>18</sup> In our case, the rest-frame IR luminosities are convolved with the 24  $\mu\text{m}$  filter response function rather than monochromatic.




FIG. 3.—Rest-frame 24  $\mu\text{m}$  luminosities of the 609 galaxies in our zCOSMOS 10k sample vs. the spectroscopic redshifts. Circles correspond to secure one-to-one associations, and triangles indicate the cases in which there is more than one optical counterpart to the 24  $\mu\text{m}$  source within  $2''$  radius (with the zCOSMOS source being the closest to the 24  $\mu\text{m}$  centroid). Crosses and plus signs indicate spectroscopically classified BLAGNs and IRAC power-law SED galaxies, respectively. [See the electronic edition of the Journal for a color version of this figure.]

model-dependent quantity. As we explain below, we do apply recipes to convert the rest-frame  $\nu L_{\nu}^{24\mu\text{m}}$  to bolometric IR luminosities when necessary, e.g., for the computation of SFRs. Roughly, a factor of  $\sim 10$  should be applied to convert  $\nu L_{\nu}^{24\mu\text{m}}$  into  $L_{\text{bol}}^{\text{IR}}$  (although this factor decreases with increasing  $\nu L_{\nu}^{24\mu\text{m}}$ ). Thus, galaxies with  $\nu L_{\nu}^{24\mu\text{m}} < 10^{10} L_{\odot}$ ,  $10^{10} L_{\odot} < \nu L_{\nu}^{24\mu\text{m}} < 10^{11} L_{\odot}$ , and  $\nu L_{\nu}^{24\mu\text{m}} > 10^{11} L_{\odot}$  would roughly correspond to IR normal galaxies, LIRGs, and ULIRGs, respectively.

The Lagache et al. (2003, 2004) and other SED models are applicable to IR galaxies dominated by star formation. The SEDs of some AGNs, instead, have a power-law shape  $f_{\nu} \propto \nu^{\alpha}$  ( $\alpha < 0$ ; see, e.g., Elvis et al. 1994; Alonso-Herrero et al. 2006).

We investigated the presence of IR power-law SED galaxies within our sample, by analyzing the corresponding *Spitzer* IRAC photometry. We classified as IRAC power-law sources those galaxies having fluxes in the four IRAC channels 3.6, 4.5, 5.8, and 8.0  $\mu\text{m}$  that are consistent with a single power law  $f_{\nu} \propto \nu^{\alpha}$  ( $\alpha < 0$ ), within the error bars. For this, we considered a minimum error bar of 0.10 mag in each IRAC channel.

A total of 45 out of the 609 24  $\mu\text{m}$  galaxies in our sample have IRAC power-law SEDs (see Fig. 3). A total of 39 out of the 45 IRAC power-law SED sources are detected in the *XMM-Newton* X-rays maps of the COSMOS field (Hasinger et al. 2007), and 30 are BLAGNs, as classified from their zCOSMOS spectra. We further discuss the IRAC power-law sources and other AGNs present in our sample in § 8.

### 4. THE SPECTRA

Before performing different spectral line diagnostics, we did some general inspection of the qualitative and quantitative spectral characteristics of our 24  $\mu\text{m}$  galaxies.

Each individual zCOSMOS spectrum covers the observed wavelength range 5500–9500 Å and has a dispersion of 2.55 Å. The quality of the spectra is quite uniform up to wavelengths  $\lambda \sim 8000$  Å. At longer wavelengths, the quality is degraded by

fringing, which can become quite severe in some cases beyond  $\lambda \sim 8500 \text{ \AA}$ . As we explain later, we only perform line measurements on individual spectra at  $\lambda < 8500 \text{ \AA}$ , and all the measurements between  $\lambda = 8000$  and  $8500 \text{ \AA}$  have been manually checked on an individual basis.

Stacked spectra can give a good qualitative idea of the mean optical spectra of 24  $\mu\text{m}$  galaxies. Figures 4, 5, and 6 show the rest-frame average stacked spectra of our 24  $\mu\text{m}$  galaxies with  $\nu L_{\nu}^{24 \mu\text{m}} < 10^{10} L_{\odot}$ ,  $10^{10} L_{\odot} < \nu L_{\nu}^{24 \mu\text{m}} < 10^{11} L_{\odot}$ , and  $\nu L_{\nu}^{24 \mu\text{m}} > 10^{11} L_{\odot}$ , respectively, in different redshift bins. We constructed the stacked spectra on sets of 38–89 galaxies, depending on the redshift and IR luminosity bin. The zCOSMOS BLAGNs have been excluded for the stacking. The wavelength resolution in all the stacked spectra is  $2 \text{ \AA}$  (rest frame). We only show the spectra up to rest-frame wavelengths corresponding to observed  $\lambda \lesssim 8500 \text{ \AA}$ ; i.e., we excluded the regions most affected by fringing. However, as we show in § 7, even the longest wavelength regions show reasonably good average spectra when sufficient numbers of sources are stacked. This is due to the fact that the fringing pattern adds incoherently for different sources, so no systematic noise is propagated into the average stacked spectra.

We obtained the average spectrum in each bin by renormalizing the individual rest-frame spectrum of each source to the average value of a featureless region of the continuum (which was chosen depending on the redshift bin). In this way, all the individual spectra in a given redshift and IR luminosity bin are put on a same scale before stacking. We smoothed out regions lying on top of the main atmospheric absorption lines, except when source emission lines were present. At each rest-frame wavelength, we excluded the 5% smallest and largest values before computing the average. This sigma-clipping procedure helps to clean the stacks for possible remaining spurious lines in the individual spectra.

These average spectra of 24  $\mu\text{m}$  galaxies in different redshift and IR luminosity bins show the following:

1. As expected, all emission lines characterizing star-forming galaxies are present. We note that these emission lines are a property of the average spectra of IR galaxies, but we do not necessarily observe all these lines in every spectrum on an individual basis (because, e.g., they are much extinguished by reddening in some cases). On the average spectra, we also see some absorption lines characteristic of old stellar populations, as Na D or Ca II H and K. This means that different generations of stars are present in many IR galaxies.

2. The average line ratios vary as a function of IR luminosity and redshift. We further analyze this point in § 7.

3. High-order Balmer absorption lines are clearly present in 24  $\mu\text{m}$  galaxies. These lines are produced by short-living ( $\lesssim 1 \text{ Gyr}$ ) A-type stars. This indicates that 24  $\mu\text{m}$  galaxies not only are instantaneously forming stars but have been also forming stars for some time during the last gigayear. We explore this issue in more detail in § 9.

Although the stacked spectra give a good qualitative idea of the average spectral properties of 24  $\mu\text{m}$  galaxies of different IR luminosities and redshifts, they do not contain information about the variety of strengths of the spectral features among 24  $\mu\text{m}$  galaxies in a same redshift and luminosity bin.

We measured the fluxes and equivalent widths (EWs) of emission lines in our zCOSMOS spectra by direct integration on the rest-frame spectra. We do not measure emission lines lying beyond observer-frame  $\lambda = 8500 \text{ \AA}$ , to avoid being severely affected by fringing. For lines at observer-frame  $8000 \text{ \AA} < \lambda < 8500 \text{ \AA}$ , we manually checked the measurements on an individual basis




FIG. 4.—Composite average zCOSMOS spectra of 24  $\mu\text{m}$  galaxies with  $\nu L_{\nu}^{24 \mu\text{m}} < 10^{10} L_{\odot}$  in different redshift bins. From top to bottom, the numbers of stacked galaxies are 74, 64, and 38, respectively.









FIG. 5.—Composite average zCOSMOS spectra of  $24\ \mu\text{m}$  galaxies with  $10^{10}\ L_{\odot} < \nu L_{\nu}^{24\ \mu\text{m}} < 10^{11}\ L_{\odot}$  in different redshift bins. From top to bottom, the numbers of stacked galaxies are 70, 57, and 42, respectively.

FIG. 6.—Composite average zCOSMOS spectra of  $24\ \mu\text{m}$  galaxies with  $\nu L_{\nu}^{24\ \mu\text{m}} > 10^{11}\ L_{\odot}$  in different redshift bins. From top to bottom, the numbers of stacked galaxies are 42, 86, and 89, respectively.




FIG. 7.—Rest-frame EW measurements of different lines in the zCOSMOS spectra of 24  $\mu\text{m}$  galaxies as a function of redshift. Symbols of different size and gray scale correspond to galaxies with different mid-IR luminosities:  $\nu L_{\nu}^{24\mu\text{m}} < 10^{10} L_{\odot}$  (small circles),  $10^{10} L_{\odot} < \nu L_{\nu}^{24\mu\text{m}} < 10^{11} L_{\odot}$  (medium-size circles), and  $\nu L_{\nu}^{24\mu\text{m}} > 10^{11} L_{\odot}$  (large circles). [See the electronic edition of the *Journal* for a color version of this figure.]

using the IRAF package `sp1ot`. We also checked manually those lines lying on top of or near strong atmospheric absorption features, e.g., [O II]  $\lambda 3727$  at  $z \sim 0.7$ . In all the following, except when otherwise stated, we only present and analyze line measurements when the corresponding rest-frame EWs are  $> 5 \text{ \AA}$ , as those are the lines with the most secure measurements.

The error bars have been computed as the sum of two components. The first error component has been obtained by considering the variations of the continuum in a narrow region around the line and setting this to a minimum of 5%. On the other hand,  $\sim 10\%$  of the sources on which we performed line measurements have duplicate good-quality spectra. We used these repeated line measurements to work out a second component for the error bars. The error bars computed in the first step have been then systematically shifted, in such a way that their median values coincided with the medians derived from the analysis of duplicates. The systematic percentual errors we added to the line fluxes are 12%, 15%, 12%, 9%, and 12% for [O II]  $\lambda 3727$ , H $\beta$   $\lambda 4861$ , [O III]  $\lambda 5007$ , H $\alpha$   $\lambda 6563$ , and [N II]  $\lambda 6584$ , respectively. For the EW measurements, the systematic percentual errors added are 17%, 20%, 17%, 10%, and 18%.

All Balmer emission lines have been corrected for stellar absorption. To do this, we fitted the continuum of each spectrum with

synthetic stellar SEDs from the Bruzual & Charlot library version 2007 (Bruzual & Charlot 2003; Bruzual 2007). The medians of the stellar absorption corrections for H $\alpha$   $\lambda 6563$  and H $\beta$   $\lambda 4861$  in our galaxies are, respectively,  $1.8 \pm 0.5 \text{ \AA}$  and  $3.1 \pm 0.3 \text{ \AA}$ .

In addition, we applied aperture corrections to all the spectral lines, as the slits in the VIMOS masks have a width of  $1''$ . We convolved each zCOSMOS spectrum in our sample with the SUBARU *R*- and *I*-band filters and compared the resulting magnitudes with the total magnitudes of our galaxies, as available in the COSMOS optical photometry catalogs (Capak et al. 2007). The difference between the two (spectrum-derived and total) magnitudes gave us the aperture correction in each case. For the majority ( $> 75\%$ ) of our galaxies, the optical flux correction factors are between 1 and 4. For less than 2% of the sample, in all cases  $z < 0.3$  galaxies, the correction factors can be as large as a factor of 10–20.

Figure 7 shows the EW of typical emission lines in the spectra of 24  $\mu\text{m}$  galaxies as a function of redshift. Only cases with  $\text{EW} > 5 \text{ \AA}$  are presented in this figure. Sources with H $\alpha$   $\lambda 6563$   $\text{EW} > 5 \text{ \AA}$  are more than 80% of all our sources at  $z < 0.3$  (the redshift bin in which we performed H $\alpha$   $\lambda 6563$  measurements on an individual basis). Instead, sources with H $\beta$   $\lambda 4861$  and [O III]  $\lambda 5007$   $\text{EW} > 5 \text{ \AA}$  constitute  $\sim 35\%$ – $40\%$  of all our sources at  $0.2 < z < 0.7$ .

From Figure 7 we see that the spectral lines of 24  $\mu\text{m}$  galaxies display a large range of EW values. Even galaxies with comparable IR luminosities at similar redshifts have lines whose EWs differ, in some cases, by a factor of 5 or more.

There is a wide range of possible  $\text{H}\alpha$   $\lambda 6563$  EW values for galaxies with  $\nu L_{\nu}^{24\mu\text{m}} < 10^{10} L_{\odot}$  up to  $z = 0.3$ . This is probably a spatial sampling effect because the VIMOS slit only samples a small region of  $1''$  width. Within a star-forming galaxy, different regions such as  $\text{H II}$  nuclei, hot spots, or disk  $\text{H II}$  regions are characterized by different  $\text{H}\alpha$   $\lambda 6563$  EW distributions (e.g., Kennicutt et al. 1989). At low redshifts, these different regions can be resolved and they can individually dominate the optical light collected in the  $1''$  wide slit. In contrast, given the size of the MIPS PSF at 24  $\mu\text{m}$  ( $\sim 6''$ ), the IR luminosity corresponds to the IR light in the integrated galaxy. The large dispersion in EW is not seen anymore for  $\text{H}\beta$   $\lambda 4861$  at higher redshifts, where the different regions cannot be resolved (see Fig. 7). However, the  $[\text{O III}]$   $\lambda 5007$  EWs do span a wide range of values at these higher redshifts, indicating the existence and different importance of ionizing sources in IR galaxies.

### 5. COMPARISON OF STAR FORMATION RATE INDICATORS

When all the ultraviolet (UV) light produced by young stars in a star-forming galaxy is absorbed and reemitted by the surrounding dust, the IR bolometric (5–1000  $\mu\text{m}$ ) luminosity  $L_{\text{bol}}^{\text{IR}}$  is directly related to the SFR (Kennicutt 1998). Sometimes, however, part of the light produced by young stars is not absorbed and can directly be observed at UV wavelengths (for a discussion see, e.g., Buat et al. 2007). This mainly depends on the geometry and mixing of the dust with young stars. Thus, an optimal estimator of SFR should take into account both the IR and UV contributions.

Our aim is to compare the SFR derived from emission lines with no dust correction in the zCOSMOS spectra with the fiducial total (IR+UV) SFR. This allows us, in the next section, to quantify the degree of extinction characterizing 24  $\mu\text{m}$  galaxies.

We consider here that the total SFR of our 24  $\mu\text{m}$  galaxies is given by the sum of the IR- and UV-derived SFRs:

$$\text{SFR}_{\text{IR}}(M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}) = 1.72 \times 10^{-10} L_{\text{bol}}^{\text{IR}}, \quad (1)$$

$$\text{SFR}_{\text{UV}}(M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}) = 1.4 \times 10^{-28} L_{\nu}^{\text{UV}}, \quad (2)$$

where  $L_{\text{bol}}^{\text{IR}}$  is the bolometric IR luminosity expressed in  $L_{\odot}$  and  $L_{\nu}^{\text{UV}}$  is the UV luminosity expressed in  $\text{ergs s}^{-1} \text{ Hz}^{-1}$ , which is approximately constant between 1500 and 2800  $\text{\AA}$  for a Salpeter (1955) initial mass function (IMF; see Kennicutt 1998). Both equations assume a Salpeter IMF over stellar masses 0.1–100  $M_{\odot}$ .

We computed the bolometric IR luminosity of our galaxies based on the rest-frame 24  $\mu\text{m}$  luminosities  $\nu L_{\nu}^{24\mu\text{m}}$  and using the Bavouzet et al. (2008)  $\nu L_{\nu}^{24\mu\text{m}} - L_{\text{bol}}^{\text{IR}}$  relation:

$$L_{\text{bol}}^{\text{IR}} = 6856 (\nu L_{\nu}^{24\mu\text{m}})_{\text{rest}}^{0.71} (\pm 54\%). \quad (3)$$

This relation has been calibrated on a sample of *Spitzer* MIPS galaxies detected at 24, 70, and 160  $\mu\text{m}$  with spectroscopic redshifts  $z < 0.6$ . The  $k$ -corrections of the rest-frame 24  $\mu\text{m}$  luminosities used to calibrate this formula depend on galaxy IR SED models. However, in contrast to other typical recipes to do the  $\nu L_{\nu}^{24\mu\text{m}} - L_{\text{bol}}^{\text{IR}}$  conversion, the bolometric IR luminosities used in the Bavouzet et al. (2008) calibration have been directly calculated from the observed fluxes at 24, 70, and 160  $\mu\text{m}$ .

We obtained the UV luminosity at a reference rest-frame wavelength  $\lambda = 2000 \text{ \AA}$  for our galaxies using the *GALEX* near-UV

(NUV) data for the COSMOS field (Schiminovich et al. 2007), which correspond to an observed effective wavelength  $\lambda_{\text{eff}} = 2310 \text{ \AA}$ . We used the Bruzual & Charlot (Bruzual 2007) models to compute the corresponding  $k$ -corrections. We note that, for most of our galaxies, the derived UV SFRs are a minor fraction of the total SFR ( $< 10\%$  for  $\sim 85\%$  of our star-forming galaxies and  $< 20\%$  for  $\sim 95\%$  of them).

Several emission lines are commonly used in the literature to trace star formation activity, even though all of them are known to present different caveats. Balmer lines are affected by underlying stellar absorption and dust extinction.  $[\text{O II}]$   $\lambda 3727$  and  $[\text{O III}]$   $\lambda 5007$  are sensitive not only to dust extinction but also to chemical abundance and the degree of ionization in the star-forming galaxy (see, e.g., Moustakas et al. 2006). To avoid dealing with the additional uncertainties associated with the SFR derived from ionization lines, we only study here the  $\text{H}\alpha$   $\lambda 6563$ - and  $\text{H}\beta$   $\lambda 4861$ -based SFRs, for a comparison with the total (IR+UV) SFR obtained for the 24  $\mu\text{m}$  galaxies.

We computed the SFR derived from the  $\text{H}\alpha$   $\lambda 6563$  line for galaxies with zCOSMOS redshifts  $z < 0.3$  with the Kennicutt (1998) formula:

$$\text{SFR}_{\text{H}\alpha}(M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}) = 7.94 \times 10^{-42} L_{\text{H}\alpha}, \quad (4)$$

where  $L_{\text{H}\alpha}$  is the luminosity associated with the flux of the  $\text{H}\alpha$   $\lambda 6563$  line expressed in  $\text{ergs s}^{-1}$ . This formula is also valid for a Salpeter IMF over stellar masses 0.1–100  $M_{\odot}$ . For galaxies with redshifts  $0.2 < z < 0.7$ , we computed the SFR derived from the  $\text{H}\beta$   $\lambda 4861$  line simply using equation (4) and assuming a case B recombination with temperature  $T = 10,000 \text{ K}$ , i.e., an intrinsic ratio  $(\text{H}\alpha \lambda 6563 / \text{H}\beta \lambda 4861)_{\text{int}} = 2.87$  (Osterbrock 1989).

The four panels in Figure 8 show the SFR derived from  $\text{H}\alpha$   $\lambda 6563$  and  $\text{H}\beta$   $\lambda 4861$  line luminosities compared to the UV-only and total (IR+UV) SFRs. Galaxies identified with BLAGNs from the optical spectra have been excluded from this analysis. We also have excluded five other sources with  $\text{H}\alpha$   $\lambda 6563$  and/or  $\text{H}\beta$   $\lambda 4861$  measurements that are obvious cases of AGNs, as determined from their high X-ray luminosities  $L_X > 10^{42.5} \text{ ergs s}^{-1}$  (see § 8). We performed the comparison with the UV and IR+UV SFRs before correcting the spectral lines for dust, and only including stellar absorption and aperture corrections. This allows us to understand the differences in the derived SFR because of dust extinction and to assess how reliable the SFRs obtained from the extincted  $\text{H}\alpha$   $\lambda 6563$  and  $\text{H}\beta$   $\lambda 4861$  lines are. In § 6 we derive dust extinction corrections for our galaxies by imposing that the  $\text{H}\alpha$   $\lambda 6563$  or  $\text{H}\beta$   $\lambda 4861$  SFRs are equal to the total (IR+UV) SFR. The dust extinction corrections have then been applied for all the subsequent analysis made in the following sections.

Several conclusions can be extracted from inspection of Figure 8. First, the general trend observed is that the derived SFRs are  $\text{SFR}_{\text{UV}} < \text{SFR}_{\text{line}} < \text{SFR}_{(\text{IR}+\text{UV})}$ . As reddening is larger at UV than at optical wavelengths (and even larger than at IR wavelengths), this trend appears to be a consequence of the differential dust extinction. This is supported by the fact that the SFRs obtained from  $\text{H}\beta$   $\lambda 4861$  are in better agreement with the UV-only SFRs than those derived from  $\text{H}\alpha$   $\lambda 6563$  (see left panels of Fig. 8).

For galaxies with  $\nu L_{\nu}^{24\mu\text{m}} < 10^{10} L_{\odot}$  at  $z < 0.3$ , the observed  $\text{H}\alpha$   $\lambda 6563$  line produces an SFR that is typically a factor of  $\sim 5$  below the total (IR+UV) SFR. The differences are more dramatic when using the  $\text{H}\beta$   $\lambda 4861$  line: for galaxies with  $10^{10} L_{\odot} < \nu L_{\nu}^{24\mu\text{m}} < 10^{11} L_{\odot}$  at  $0.2 < z < 0.7$ , the SFRs are underestimated by a factor of  $\sim 10$ . This indicates that the effects of dust extinction are very important in most IR sources. This is consistent with the results of previous spectroscopic studies of IR-luminous galaxies






FIG. 8.—Comparison of different SFR indicators:  $\text{H}\beta$   $\lambda 4861$  vs. UV (top left) and total (IR+UV; top right), and  $\text{H}\alpha$   $\lambda 6563$  vs. UV (bottom left) and total (IR+UV; bottom right). The symbol code is the same as in Fig. 7. [See the electronic edition of the Journal for a color version of this figure.]

(e.g., Flores et al. 2004; Liang et al. 2004; Choi et al. 2006). The exact factors obtained for the optical-to-total SFR conversion mainly depend on the recipes adopted to convert mid-IR into bolometric IR luminosities.

For some galaxies in our sample, especially among the  $\nu L_{\nu}^{24\mu\text{m}} > 10^{11} L_{\odot}$  population, the discrepancies between the  $\text{H}\beta$   $\lambda 4861$ -derived and total SFRs are much larger than the average ( $\gtrsim 50$ ). This could indicate the presence of either very obscured star-forming galaxies or the presence of AGNs that are to some extent responsible for the IR emission. We note that, although we excluded from this analysis all the zCOSMOS-identified BLAGNs, narrow-line AGNs or mixed AGN/star-forming systems might still be present in our sample. We mentioned in § 3 the existence of some IRAC power-law sources within our sample. However, only one of the sources with highly discrepant SFRs is actually an IRAC power law. In some other cases, high-ionization line ratios are observed in their optical spectra. As we discuss in § 10, star formation and AGN activity might coexist in some of these systems.

## 6. DUST EXTINCTION

The discrepancies between the SFRs derived from the emission lines in the optical spectra and the IR+UV indicators make

necessary the study of dust extinction in our galaxies. The common procedure in the literature is to adopt a known reddening law to deredden the optical spectra. Either the Calzetti et al. (2000), the Milky Way (MW; Fitzpatrick 1999 and references therein), or the Small Magellanic Cloud (SMC; Prévot et al. 1984) reddening laws are generally used. We can test the adequacy of these different laws to describe the interstellar extinction in a subsample of our galaxies.

We analyzed the IR, UV, and zCOSMOS spectroscopic data of those galaxies in our sample for which both  $\text{H}\alpha$   $\lambda 6563$  and  $\text{H}\beta$   $\lambda 4861$  were present and had sufficiently reliable measurements; i.e., we restricted the analysis to the 24  $24\mu\text{m}$  galaxies at  $0.2 < z < 0.3$  with  $\text{EW} > 5 \text{ \AA}$  for both  $\text{H}\alpha$   $\lambda 6563$  and  $\text{H}\beta$   $\lambda 4861$ .

Figure 9 shows the observed Balmer decrement  $L(\text{H}\alpha \lambda 6563)/L(\text{H}\beta \lambda 4861)$  of these galaxies, versus the logarithm of the ratio between the far-IR+UV and the UV luminosities. The latter quantity is related to the extinction at UV wavelengths  $A_{2000}$ , i.e.,  $(L_{70\mu\text{m}} + L_{2000})/L_{2000} \approx 10^{0.4A_{2000}}$  (assuming a uniform geometry for the dust distribution; see, e.g., Buat et al. 2002). To estimate the far-IR contribution, we used the rest-frame  $70\mu\text{m}$  luminosity, which we compute based on the rest-frame  $24\mu\text{m}$  luminosities and assuming the  $\nu L_{\nu}^{24\mu\text{m}} - \nu L_{\nu}^{70\mu\text{m}}$  relation calibrated by Bavouzet et al. (2008).




FIG. 9.—Balmer decrement between the  $H\alpha$   $\lambda 6563$  and  $H\beta$   $\lambda 4861$  lines for our  $24\ \mu\text{m}$  galaxies at  $0.2 < z < 0.3$ , vs. the logarithm of their far-IR+UV over UV luminosity ratio. We consider the latter quantity as proportional to the extinction in the UV. Only galaxies with  $\text{EW} > 5\ \text{\AA}$  for both the  $H\alpha$   $\lambda 6563$  and the  $H\beta$   $\lambda 4861$  lines are shown. The gray scale and size code for the circles are the same as in previous figures. Thick lines of different styles and gray scales indicate different reddening laws, as detailed in the inset within the plot. The horizontal dashed line marks the value of the intrinsic Balmer decrement in a case B recombination with temperature  $T = 10,000\ \text{K}$ . We note that some galaxies have  $L(H\alpha)/L(H\beta)$  ratios that are equal to or lower than the case B recombination but at the same time have significantly large  $(L_{70\ \mu\text{m}} + L_{2000})/L_{2000}$  ratios. This is probably due to aperture effects; i.e., the  $1''$  wide VIMOS slit maps the unobscured central region of the galaxy, while the IR flux is produced by surrounding dust (see Fig. 10). [See the electronic edition of the *Journal* for a color version of this figure.]

The different thick color lines indicate the relations obtained from different reddening laws. We see that both the MW and the Calzetti et al. (2000) reddening laws produce basically the same curve. This is because of the following: we assumed that the observed  $H\alpha$   $\lambda 6563$ –to– $H\beta$   $\lambda 4861$  luminosity ratio is given by

$$\frac{L(H\alpha)}{L(H\beta)} = 2.87 \times 10^{-0.4(A_{H\alpha} - A_{H\beta})}, \quad (5)$$

i.e., the intrinsic case B recombination line ratio attenuated by the corresponding difference of extinctions. The extinction  $A_{H\alpha, H\beta}$  is proportional to the reddening  $k(H\alpha, H\beta)$ , and thus

$$A_{H\alpha} - A_{H\beta} = A_{2000} \frac{k(H\alpha) - k(H\beta)}{k(2000)}. \quad (6)$$

This means that the attenuation on  $L(H\alpha)/L(H\beta)$  is given by the slope of each reddening curve between the  $H\alpha$   $\lambda 6563$ – $H\beta$   $\lambda 4861$  and the  $2000\ \text{\AA}$  spectral regions.

As the MW reddening law is characterized by the presence of a graphite bump around  $2175\ \text{\AA}$  that is absent in the Calzetti et al. (2000) law, it might seem surprising that both curves in Figure 9 are nearly coincident. This is due to the wavelengths we considered to study the attenuation: the slopes of the two reddening laws are basically the same between these precise wavelengths. If we had used a different UV wavelength for our analysis, the two curves would be slightly different.

Both the MW and the Calzetti et al. (2000) reddening laws appear to correctly describe the average extinction observed in our  $24\ \mu\text{m}$  galaxies, within the error bars. The SMC law, instead, has a quite flatter slope and tends to underestimate the observed  $L(H\alpha)/L(H\beta)$  ratio. So, to deredden the spectral lines in our

galaxies, we decided to adopt the Calzetti et al. (2000) reddening law, but the MW law should also be suitable for the  $H\beta$   $\lambda 4861$ –to– $H\alpha$   $\lambda 6563$  spectral region (as, in practice, the region of the graphite bump is convolved with the NUV filter response function, so we are not sensitive to this structure).

We observe in Figure 9 that six galaxies in our sample display  $L(H\alpha)/L(H\beta)$  ratios that are equal to or lower than the case B recombination but at the same time have significantly large  $(L_{70\ \mu\text{m}} + L_{2000})/L_{2000}$  ratios. Five out of six of these galaxies are secure one-to-one  $24\ \mu\text{m}$ –zCOSMOS identifications, so this behavior is not due to incorrect associations. The  $L(H\alpha)/L(H\beta)$  ratios of these sources can neither be explained by a linear combination of two components, one completely free of dust (in a case B recombination) and the other one following, e.g., the Calzetti et al. (2000) reddening law (Fig. 9, dot-dashed line).

Instead, the behavior of the six outlier sources is probably the combination of two factors: (1) at  $0.2 < z < 0.3$ , the  $1''$  wide VIMOS slits only cover a small region within the galaxies, and (2) the dust is not homogeneously distributed; in particular, the optical spectra map regions where the UV/optical photons can escape with virtually no absorption.

The *HST* ACS *I*-band images show that all five cases with secure identifications are galaxies with a bright optical nucleus and a quite fainter disk structure around (see Fig. 10). This fainter structure is probably extinguished by dust, which is itself responsible for the IR emission. The remaining sixth case corresponds to a merger, and the IR emission is likely to be produced by the dust surrounding the two merging galaxies.

Except for these few cases with resolved internal regions, the application of a single reddening law should be suitable for the majority of our galaxies. Although sources with nonhomogeneous dust distributions might also be present at higher redshifts, the possibility of resolving different regions within a single galaxy is much less likely.

Figure 11 shows the  $V$ -band extinctions derived for different IR luminosity galaxies at different redshifts in our sample, assuming the validity of the Calzetti et al. (2000) reddening law. To obtain the extinction, we imposed the SFR derived from the  $H\alpha$   $\lambda 6563$  or  $H\beta$   $\lambda 4861$  line flux to be equal to the total (IR+UV) SFR in each galaxy. As before, zCOSMOS BLAGNs are excluded from this analysis.

We observe an evolution of the median extinction values as a function of IR luminosity and redshift. The  $\nu L_{\nu}^{24\ \mu\text{m}} < 10^{10}\ L_{\odot}$  galaxies within our sample have a median extinction  $A_V = 1.98 \pm 0.73\ \text{mag}$ , at a median redshift  $z = 0.22$ . Among the  $\nu L_{\nu}^{24\ \mu\text{m}} > 10^{11}\ L_{\odot}$  galaxies, the median extinction is  $A_V = 2.70 \pm 0.75\ \text{mag}$ , at a median redshift  $z = 0.67$ . These values are consistent with previous spectroscopic studies of IR galaxies (e.g., Liang et al. 2004; Choi et al. 2006).

For a comparison, we included in Figure 11 the extinctions obtained by Maier et al. (2005) from the spectra of 30 Canada-France Redshift Survey (CFRS; Lilly et al. 1995) sources at  $0.5 < z < 0.9$ . We see that most of the CFRS sources have smaller extinctions than our galaxies at similar redshifts. This is expected, as only a few of these 30 CFRS sources are IR luminous (Flores et al. 1999).

Choi et al. (2006) found that the extinction values within their IR galaxy sample were mainly correlated with IR luminosity rather than redshift. To test this property on our  $24\ \mu\text{m}$  galaxies, we computed the correlation coefficients between extinction and IR luminosity and between extinction and redshift:

$$\rho(A_V; y) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{(A_V^i - \bar{A}_V)(y^i - \bar{y})}{\sigma_{A_V} \sigma_y}, \quad (7)$$




FIG. 10.—*HSTACS* *I*-band postage stamps of six galaxies characterized by optical spectra with an  $\text{H}\alpha$   $\lambda 6563$ –to– $\text{H}\beta$   $\lambda 4861$  ratio equal to or lower than the intrinsic absorption in the case B recombination. The size of each stamp is  $7.5'' \times 7.5''$ . The position of the VIMOS slit is shown on each image. [See the electronic edition of the *Journal* for a color version of this figure.]




FIG. 11.—*V*-band extinctions derived for the 24  $\mu\text{m}$  galaxies vs. redshift, using the Calzetti et al. (2000) reddening law. In each case, we obtained the extinction by equating the SFR derived from the  $\text{H}\alpha$   $\lambda 6563$  or  $\text{H}\beta$   $\lambda 4861$  lines with the total (IR+UV) SFR. The gray scale and size code for the circles are the same as in previous figures. Triangles indicate galaxies from the CFRS (Lilly et al. 1995), for which SFRs and extinctions have been computed by Maier et al. (2005). For the three CFRS galaxies that have been detected at 15  $\mu\text{m}$  with *ISO* (Flores et al. 1999; filled triangles), we recomputed the SFRs and extinctions taking into account their IR flux. [See the electronic edition of the *Journal* for a color version of this figure.]

where the variable  $y$  alternatively indicates rest-frame 24  $\mu\text{m}$  luminosity  $\nu L_{\nu}^{24\mu\text{m}}$  or the redshift  $z$ . The symbols with an overline indicate mean values, and the different  $\sigma$  correspond to one-variable dispersions. The sum is made over all the galaxies considered to probe the correlations.

We first restrict this measurement to a given luminosity bin ( $10^{10} L_{\odot} < \nu L_{\nu}^{24\mu\text{m}} < 10^{11} L_{\odot}$ ) and study the correlation between  $A_V$  and  $z$ . We find that the correlation coefficient is  $\rho(A_V; z) \approx -0.08$  (for  $N = 67$  galaxies), i.e., there is basically no correlation between the two variables  $A_V$  and  $z$ .

Instead, we can fix the redshift bin and study the correlation between  $A_V$  and  $\nu L_{\nu}^{24\mu\text{m}}$ . For the 93 galaxies at  $0.2 < z < 0.45$ , we find  $\rho(A_V; \nu L_{\nu}^{24\mu\text{m}}) \approx 0.07$ . For the 48 galaxies at  $0.45 < z < 0.70$ , we have  $\rho(A_V; \nu L_{\nu}^{24\mu\text{m}}) \approx 0.46$ . Thus, within our sample,  $A_V$  appears to be somewhat correlated with IR luminosity for the intermediate-redshift ( $0.45 < z < 0.70$ ) galaxies (at redshifts comparable to those explored by Choi et al. 2006).

## 7. LINE DIAGNOSTICS AND METALLICITIES

### 7.1. $[\text{O III}] \lambda 5007 / \text{H}\beta \lambda 4861$

We further explored the optical spectral characteristics of the 24  $\mu\text{m}$  galaxies in COSMOS by analyzing the variation of typical line ratios as a function of IR luminosity and redshift. Whenever possible, we used these line ratios to estimate galaxy metallicities. However, in contrast to metal abundances, direct line ratios have the advantage of being quite model independent and free of physical assumptions.

Given the wavelength range covered by the zCOSMOS spectra,  $\text{H}\beta \lambda 4861$  and  $[\text{O III}] \lambda 5007$  can be measured for galaxies at  $0.2 < z < 0.7$  without being significantly affected by fringing. The left panel of Figure 12 shows the  $[\text{O III}] \lambda 5007 / \text{H}\beta \lambda 4861$  ratios as a function of redshift. Filled circles correspond to our measurements on the individual spectra, in the cases when the two line EWs were




FIG. 12.—*Left*:  $[\text{O III}] \lambda 5007/\text{H}\beta \lambda 4861$  ratio for our galaxies in the cases that both  $\text{H}\beta \lambda 4861$  and  $[\text{O III}] \lambda 5007$  have  $\text{EW} \geq 5 \text{ \AA}$ , vs. redshift. The gray scale and size code for circles are the same as in previous figures. Filled circles with a plus sign within correspond to (narrow line) X-ray AGNs with  $L_X > 10^{42.5} \text{ ergs s}^{-1}$ . Measurements for those cases with  $\text{H}\beta \lambda 4861 \text{ EW} \geq 5 \text{ \AA}$  but  $[\text{O III}] \lambda 5007 \text{ EW} < 5 \text{ \AA}$  are indicated with small open circles. Asterisks correspond to our measurements on average stacked spectra. The gray scale and size code for the asterisks are equivalent to that for circles. Gray dots correspond to measurements performed on all other zCOSMOS galaxies with  $\text{H}\beta \lambda 4861 \text{ EW} > 5 \text{ \AA}$  at similar redshifts (F. Lamareille et al. 2008, in preparation). Small and large crosses correspond to measurements listed in Table 2 of Rupke et al. (2008) for LIRGs and ULIRGs, respectively. *Right*:  $[\text{O III}] \lambda 5007/\text{H}\beta \lambda 4861$  ratio for submillimeter galaxies at  $z \geq 1.5$  obtained by Takata et al. (2006). [See the electronic edition of the Journal for a color version of this figure.]

$\geq 5 \text{ \AA}$ . The size and color code for the circles are the same as in previous plots. Small open circles indicate tentative  $[\text{O III}] \lambda 5007/\text{H}\beta \lambda 4861$  measurements on spectra with  $\text{H}\beta \lambda 4861 \text{ EW} \geq 5 \text{ \AA}$  but  $[\text{O III}] \lambda 5007 \text{ EW} < 5 \text{ \AA}$ . The zCOSMOS BLAGNs are excluded from this analysis. A single case of the shown line ratios corresponds to an obvious narrow-line AGN, given its high X-ray luminosity  $L_X > 10^{42.5} \text{ ergs s}^{-1}$  (filled circle with a plus sign within). All line measurements include aperture and extinction corrections, although these corrections have little impact on the derived ratios.  $\text{H}\beta \lambda 4861$  is also corrected for stellar absorption in all cases. All the completely corrected flux measurements in the cases that both lines have  $\text{EW} \geq 5 \text{ \AA}$  are listed in Table 2.

To study the average line ratios of all our galaxies independently of the selection effects imposed by the  $5 \text{ \AA}$  EW cut, we measured the line fluxes on average composite spectra in different IR luminosity and redshift bins (Fig. 12, *asterisks*). To construct each composite, we considered all the galaxies in each bin, independently of the line EWs measured on their individual spectra. We assigned to each composite a redshift equal to the median of the individual galaxy redshifts.

Also, for a comparison, we show the  $[\text{O III}] \lambda 5007/\text{H}\beta \lambda 4861$  ratios of all the zCOSMOS galaxies with  $\text{H}\beta \lambda 4861 \text{ EW} \geq 5 \text{ \AA}$  (F. Lamareille et al. 2008, in preparation; Fig. 12, *gray dots*). The averages of these ratios are remarkably constant with redshift, with  $\log_{10}([\text{O III}] \lambda 5007/\text{H}\beta \lambda 4861) \approx -0.5$  at  $z = 0.2-0.3$  and  $\log_{10}([\text{O III}] \lambda 5007/\text{H}\beta \lambda 4861) \approx -0.4$  at  $z = 0.6-0.7$ .

By comparison of the  $[\text{O III}] \lambda 5007/\text{H}\beta \lambda 4861$  line ratios among our galaxies with different luminosities and redshifts, and with the line ratios of the entire zCOSMOS galaxy population, we can conclude the following:

1. The average  $[\text{O III}] \lambda 5007/\text{H}\beta \lambda 4861$  values obtained from the stacked spectra for  $\nu L_{\nu}^{24 \mu\text{m}} < 10^{10} L_{\odot}$  galaxies at  $z \sim 0.25$

are comparable to those of  $10^{10} L_{\odot} < \nu L_{\nu}^{24 \mu\text{m}} < 10^{11} L_{\odot}$  sources at  $z \sim 0.4-0.7$ . This indicates that the hardness of the ionizing flux is comparable in all these galaxies. This fact suggests that the fraction of massive stars among all the stars being created in these galaxies are similar.

2. For the less luminous IR galaxies in our sample at  $0.30 < z < 0.45$ , the average ionization level is quite low and comparable to the average of the entire zCOSMOS sample. We obtained the average of our IR galaxies by stacking 38 galaxies with  $\nu L_{\nu}^{24 \mu\text{m}} < 10^{10} L_{\odot}$  at redshifts  $0.30 < z \leq 0.45$ . This fact indicates that the ionizing fluxes of these sources of moderate IR luminosity are similar to those of any other optically bright galaxy at low redshift.

3. The average  $[\text{O III}] \lambda 5007/\text{H}\beta \lambda 4861$  ratio obtained from the composite spectrum of 42 galaxies with  $\nu L_{\nu}^{24 \mu\text{m}} > 10^{11} L_{\odot}$  at a median redshift of  $z = 0.67$  is more than 0.6 dex higher than the average ratio of all the zCOSMOS galaxies at similar redshifts. This result shows that the most luminous IR galaxies at  $z = 0.6-0.7$  have different chemical properties from other more typical optically selected sources. In particular, among our  $\nu L_{\nu}^{24 \mu\text{m}} > 10^{11} L_{\odot}$  sources at  $z > 0.45$  with  $\text{H}\beta \lambda 4861$  and  $[\text{O III}] \lambda 5007 \text{ EW} > 5 \text{ \AA}$ , more than half have  $\log_{10}([\text{O III}] \lambda 5007/\text{H}\beta \lambda 4861) > 0.5$ . These very high ionization levels, characterizing only  $\sim 1\%$  of the total zCOSMOS galaxies at these redshifts, could suggest the presence of some remaining (narrow line) AGNs within our sample, but could also indicate that a large fraction of young massive stars of types O and B are being created in these galaxies.

We also compared our derived line ratios with other measurements available in the literature. Small and large crosses in the left panel of Figure 12 show the  $[\text{O III}] \lambda 5007/\text{H}\beta \lambda 4861$  line ratios obtained by Rupke et al. (2008) on a sample of IRAS-selected LIRGs and ULIRGs, respectively, mostly at  $z < 0.3$ . None of

TABLE 2

THE H $\beta$   $\lambda$ 4861 AND [O III]  $\lambda$ 5007 LINE FLUXES FOR THE GALAXIES IN OUR SAMPLE FOR WHICH BOTH LINE EWs ARE GREATER THAN 5 Å

zCOSMOS ID	$z_{\text{spec}}$	H $\beta$ $\lambda$ 4861	[O III] $\lambda$ 5007	$\log_{10} \nu L_{\nu}^{24 \mu\text{m}}$
813537.....	0.2602	$1.74 \pm 0.42$	$1.34 \pm 0.41$	<10
812917.....	0.3398	$1.41 \pm 0.28$	$1.35 \pm 0.25$	<10
812047.....	0.2648	$3.26 \pm 0.57$	$16.31 \pm 2.30$	<10
818329.....	0.2656	$3.16 \pm 0.56$	$12.90 \pm 1.83$	<10
825619.....	0.3100	$2.30 \pm 0.49$	$1.72 \pm 0.38$	<10
817135.....	0.3220	$1.79 \pm 0.39$	$4.48 \pm 0.71$	<10
822887.....	0.2167	$4.56 \pm 0.92$	$5.66 \pm 0.89$	<10
840778.....	0.2614	$2.79 \pm 0.70$	$1.84 \pm 0.55$	<10
848386.....	0.2861	$2.29 \pm 0.56$	$0.90 \pm 0.22$	<10
831576.....	0.2512	$1.96 \pm 0.42$	$0.94 \pm 0.21$	<10
841054.....	0.2726	$1.91 \pm 0.48$	$1.38 \pm 0.44$	<10
840771.....	0.3302	$1.65 \pm 0.36$	$0.83 \pm 0.17$	<10
836248.....	0.2602	$2.66 \pm 0.57$	$1.75 \pm 0.32$	<10
837327.....	0.2190	$5.33 \pm 1.06$	$4.03 \pm 0.66$	<10
826959.....	0.2607	$1.73 \pm 0.39$	$2.46 \pm 1.00$	<10
844388.....	0.2158	$1.99 \pm 0.41$	$4.02 \pm 0.61$	<10
814007.....	0.5866	$1.75 \pm 0.75$	$1.34 \pm 0.28$	10–11
801253.....	0.4803	$2.88 \pm 0.55$	$2.28 \pm 0.36$	10–11
812879.....	0.2506	$7.73 \pm 1.35$	$26.64 \pm 4.05$	10–11
814176.....	0.3753	$1.96 \pm 0.42$	$1.38 \pm 0.28$	10–11
813806.....	0.6896	$1.65 \pm 0.67$	$2.79 \pm 1.73$	10–11
820021.....	0.6753	$1.81 \pm 0.50$	$0.52 \pm 0.27$	10–11
826685.....	0.6018	$2.42 \pm 0.56$	$1.75 \pm 0.33$	10–11
825318.....	0.4789	$0.98 \pm 0.21$	$1.28 \pm 0.28$	10–11
817189.....	0.4609	$3.10 \pm 0.69$	$36.97 \pm 5.32$	10–11
823751.....	0.4795	$2.73 \pm 0.58$	$2.54 \pm 0.43$	10–11
827923.....	0.4332	$1.37 \pm 0.30$	$1.38 \pm 0.25$	10–11
834174.....	0.5027	$1.69 \pm 0.47$	$2.33 \pm 0.51$	10–11
833601.....	0.3342	$2.49 \pm 0.55$	$1.54 \pm 0.28$	10–11
824223.....	0.6776	$1.83 \pm 0.63$	$1.58 \pm 0.69$	10–11
832126.....	0.3711	$3.18 \pm 0.62$	$5.32 \pm 0.81$	10–11
831349.....	0.3115	$4.43 \pm 0.89$	$3.56 \pm 0.56$	10–11
835952.....	0.3616	$2.31 \pm 0.52$	$1.16 \pm 0.25$	10–11
844837.....	0.5021	$1.92 \pm 0.41$	$1.97 \pm 0.32$	10–11
843329.....	0.5064	$2.56 \pm 0.46$	$13.91 \pm 2.02$	10–11
804831.....	0.3466	$2.69 \pm 0.56$	$1.32 \pm 0.24$	10–11
834565.....	0.5339	$1.82 \pm 0.54$	$2.23 \pm 0.36$	10–11
826188.....	0.3453	$3.93 \pm 0.75$	$3.71 \pm 0.65$	10–11
816998*.....	0.4248	$4.86 \pm 1.03$	$8.62 \pm 1.36$	10–11
838297.....	0.5037	$1.22 \pm 0.36$	$0.91 \pm 0.21$	10–11
835862.....	0.4027	$3.32 \pm 0.69$	$1.78 \pm 0.34$	10–11
844486.....	0.4694	$1.93 \pm 0.40$	$0.83 \pm 0.21$	10–11
851898.....	0.6655	$2.02 \pm 0.51$	$4.57 \pm 0.83$	10–11
826453.....	0.3547	$2.76 \pm 0.56$	$1.12 \pm 0.28$	10–11
803488.....	0.5867	$4.69 \pm 1.79$	$39.11 \pm 9.32$	>11
820949.....	0.5712	$3.00 \pm 0.60$	$2.23 \pm 0.45$	>11
812432.....	0.6611	$7.59 \pm 2.38$	$39.35 \pm 11.11$	>11
817871.....	0.6738	$2.42 \pm 0.53$	$14.74 \pm 3.06$	>11
841690.....	0.4821	$11.01 \pm 1.92$	$58.98 \pm 8.40$	>11
831770.....	0.6877	$3.35 \pm 0.61$	$3.44 \pm 0.63$	>11
830349.....	0.6693	$1.94 \pm 0.48$	$1.59 \pm 0.42$	>11
838689*.....	0.6884	$10.84 \pm 6.62$	$24.96 \pm 5.88$	>11
836868.....	0.6793	$2.42 \pm 0.61$	$23.14 \pm 3.16$	>11
851888.....	0.6667	$2.27 \pm 0.88$	$3.07 \pm 0.97$	>11
820787.....	0.6753	$3.25 \pm 0.58$	$26.00 \pm 3.72$	>11

NOTES.—All fluxes are aperture and extinction corrected. H $\beta$   $\lambda$ 4861 fluxes also include corrections for stellar absorption. The flux units are  $10^{-15}$  ergs s $^{-1}$  cm $^{-2}$ . The zCOSMOS BLAGNs have been excluded. The sources flagged with an asterisk correspond to X-ray AGNs with  $L_X > 10^{42.5}$  ergs s $^{-1}$ .

the sources in Rupke et al. (2008) have the high ionization levels characteristic of several of our  $\nu L_{\nu}^{24 \mu\text{m}} > 10^{11} L_{\odot}$  galaxies at  $0.5 < z < 0.7$  and a few of the  $10^{10} L_{\odot} < \nu L_{\nu}^{24 \mu\text{m}} < 10^{11} L_{\odot}$  galaxies at lower redshifts (although Veilleux et al. [1995] did find a few cases of ULIRGs with high ionization levels in the local universe).

The high ionization levels characterizing some of our  $\nu L_{\nu}^{24 \mu\text{m}} > 10^{11} L_{\odot}$  sources at  $0.5 < z < 0.7$  are comparable to those found in many submillimeter galaxies at  $z \gtrsim 1.5$  (Takata et al. 2006; right panel of Fig. 12). Unfortunately, the determination of the source of ionization in both these submillimeter galaxies and our intermediate-redshift ULIRGs requires much better line sensitivity than that provided by low- to medium-resolution spectra. Future follow-up of these sources with near- or mid-IR spectroscopy should help us to better constrain the nature of our most luminous IR galaxies at intermediate redshifts.

## 7.2. [N II] $\lambda$ 6584/H $\alpha$ $\lambda$ 6563 and the BPT Diagram

For galaxies up to redshift  $z \sim 0.3$ , the H $\alpha$   $\lambda$ 6563 and [N II]  $\lambda$ 6584 lines are observed in the zCOSMOS spectra and quite unaffected by fringing. The completely corrected H $\alpha$   $\lambda$ 6563 and [N II]  $\lambda$ 6584 fluxes for our 24  $\mu\text{m}$  galaxies with EW  $> 5$  Å are presented in Table 3, and the corresponding [N II]  $\lambda$ 6584/H $\alpha$   $\lambda$ 6563 ratios are shown in Figure 13. We see that our 24  $\mu\text{m}$  galaxies span a wide range of [N II]  $\lambda$ 6584/H $\alpha$   $\lambda$ 6563 ratios. This is in part due to the same spatial sampling effect discussed in § 4, which produced the large dispersion in the H $\alpha$   $\lambda$ 6563 EW.

For  $\sim 15\%$  of our 24  $\mu\text{m}$  galaxies at  $z < 0.3$ , the ratios are quite high,  $\log_{10}([\text{N II}] \lambda 6584/\text{H}\alpha \lambda 6563) > -0.2$ . Although we do not have reliable H $\beta$   $\lambda$ 4861 and [O III]  $\lambda$ 5007 measurements for most of them, the high [N II]  $\lambda$ 6584/H $\alpha$   $\lambda$ 6563 ratios alone are sufficient to classify these objects either as Seyferts or as their low-luminosity equivalents, i.e., low-ionization nuclear emission-line regions (LINERs; see Fig. 14). These high [N II]  $\lambda$ 6584/H $\alpha$   $\lambda$ 6563 values are more common among more luminous IR galaxies at similar redshifts (Rupke et al. 2008; Fig. 13, crosses).

Our 24  $\mu\text{m}$  galaxies at  $0.2 < z < 0.3$  with measurements for the four H $\beta$   $\lambda$ 4861, [O III]  $\lambda$ 5007, H $\alpha$   $\lambda$ 6563, and [N II]  $\lambda$ 6584 lines can be located in the Baldwin et al. (1981; BPT) diagram (Fig. 14). Once more, we compare our measurements with the line ratios of other zCOSMOS galaxies at similar redshifts (F. Lamareille et al. 2008, in preparation). Nearly all of our 24  $\mu\text{m}$  galaxies at these redshifts have mid-IR luminosities  $\nu L_{\nu}^{24 \mu\text{m}} < 10^{10} L_{\odot}$  and occupy quite different positions in the BPT diagram, similarly to other optically selected galaxies that are below our detection limits in the IR. This indicates the quite heterogeneous character of the IR normal galaxy population: any galaxy with modest levels of SF ( $\lesssim 10\text{--}15 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ ) belongs to this category, independently of its degree of chemical evolution and already assembled stellar mass.

The asterisks in Figure 14 indicate our lines ratios measured on the average stacked spectra at median redshifts  $z = 0.25$  and  $\sim 0.35$ . Although we did not measure H $\alpha$   $\lambda$ 6563 and [N II]  $\lambda$ 6584 line fluxes at  $z > 0.3$  on an individual basis to avoid being severely affected by fringing, the signal-to-noise ratio in the stacked spectra is sufficiently good as to allow for a reliable measurement of these lines (see Fig. 15). These average spectra correspond to  $10^{10} L_{\odot} < \nu L_{\nu}^{24 \mu\text{m}} < 10^{11} L_{\odot}$  and  $\nu L_{\nu}^{24 \mu\text{m}} < 10^{10} L_{\odot}$  galaxies, and their line ratios are located just beyond the empirical line proposed by Kauffmann et al. (2003b), which delimits the transition region between pure star-forming and mixed AGN/star-forming galaxies in the BPT diagram. This fact suggests that several mid-IR-selected galaxies at  $z \sim 0.3\text{--}0.4$  redshifts, even with

TABLE 3

THE H $\alpha$   $\lambda$ 6563 AND [N II]  $\lambda$ 6584 LINE FLUXES FOR THE GALAXIES  
IN OUR SAMPLE FOR WHICH BOTH LINE EWs ARE GREATER THAN 5 Å

zCOSMOS ID	$z_{\text{spec}}$	H $\alpha$ $\lambda$ 6563	[N II] $\lambda$ 6584	$\log_{10} \nu L_{\nu}^{24 \mu\text{m}}$
806808.....	0.1267	7.22 $\pm$ 1.30	5.90 $\pm$ 1.19	<10
805853.....	0.2823	4.37 $\pm$ 0.59	2.14 $\pm$ 0.55	<10
813332.....	0.1662	11.64 $\pm$ 1.33	3.97 $\pm$ 0.62	<10
812999.....	0.1330	15.52 $\pm$ 2.08	7.23 $\pm$ 1.50	<10
805921.....	0.2673	9.03 $\pm$ 1.09	2.98 $\pm$ 0.91	<10
805095.....	0.1848	11.21 $\pm$ 1.30	2.69 $\pm$ 0.47	<10
800509.....	0.2061	9.92 $\pm$ 1.48	4.21 $\pm$ 1.00	<10
812989.....	0.1335	19.98 $\pm$ 2.34	8.77 $\pm$ 1.41	<10
812793.....	0.2202	5.44 $\pm$ 1.12	4.33 $\pm$ 1.51	<10
812559.....	0.1868	6.00 $\pm$ 0.77	2.98 $\pm$ 0.52	<10
820251.....	0.0922	55.28 $\pm$ 6.46	26.53 $\pm$ 4.12	<10
819521.....	0.1089	16.21 $\pm$ 2.03	9.96 $\pm$ 1.68	<10
811456.....	0.2835	8.02 $\pm$ 2.59	6.10 $\pm$ 1.79	<10
819880.....	0.0928	24.92 $\pm$ 3.35	12.57 $\pm$ 2.23	<10
819278.....	0.1692	5.10 $\pm$ 0.61	2.03 $\pm$ 0.34	<10
812047.....	0.2648	9.40 $\pm$ 1.04	0.18 $\pm$ 0.04	<10
819178.....	0.1240	6.68 $\pm$ 1.03	3.34 $\pm$ 0.75	<10
811299.....	0.1689	25.69 $\pm$ 3.21	12.79 $\pm$ 2.07	<10
826189.....	0.2203	7.04 $\pm$ 0.85	1.60 $\pm$ 0.34	<10
811017.....	0.0994	6.98 $\pm$ 0.86	1.88 $\pm$ 0.65	<10
818329.....	0.2656	9.10 $\pm$ 1.18	0.82 $\pm$ 0.28	<10
826438.....	0.2826	5.92 $\pm$ 3.42	2.61 $\pm$ 0.97	<10
826635*.....	0.1333	21.68 $\pm$ 2.85	12.21 $\pm$ 2.27	<10
818715.....	0.1230	16.72 $\pm$ 2.18	7.16 $\pm$ 1.43	<10
818641.....	0.1945	17.46 $\pm$ 2.03	7.32 $\pm$ 1.19	<10
825585.....	0.2814	5.58 $\pm$ 1.09	4.22 $\pm$ 1.67	<10
825178.....	0.2504	8.38 $\pm$ 1.65	5.28 $\pm$ 1.12	<10
818115.....	0.2200	9.06 $\pm$ 1.66	4.53 $\pm$ 1.38	<10
824542.....	0.1056	12.73 $\pm$ 1.50	3.77 $\pm$ 0.67	<10
825011.....	0.1873	19.71 $\pm$ 2.35	11.50 $\pm$ 2.06	<10
823948.....	0.1694	8.43 $\pm$ 0.99	3.56 $\pm$ 0.62	<10
824741.....	0.2497	5.38 $\pm$ 0.64	1.37 $\pm$ 0.22	<10
824137.....	0.1101	34.82 $\pm$ 4.55	27.58 $\pm$ 4.58	<10
824379.....	0.0931	8.06 $\pm$ 0.94	2.65 $\pm$ 0.43	<10
824401.....	0.2143	8.97 $\pm$ 1.13	4.22 $\pm$ 0.70	<10
823372.....	0.1848	8.86 $\pm$ 1.23	4.24 $\pm$ 0.77	<10
823895.....	0.2478	8.69 $\pm$ 1.51	3.76 $\pm$ 0.94	<10
822887.....	0.2167	13.16 $\pm$ 1.49	4.38 $\pm$ 0.89	<10
826778.....	0.1861	5.76 $\pm$ 0.71	2.26 $\pm$ 0.43	<10
833704.....	0.1303	11.47 $\pm$ 1.63	7.07 $\pm$ 1.40	<10
834384.....	0.1673	13.39 $\pm$ 1.82	7.49 $\pm$ 1.49	<10
834432.....	0.1879	5.86 $\pm$ 0.85	3.21 $\pm$ 0.69	<10
832637.....	0.2519	6.14 $\pm$ 1.17	3.38 $\pm$ 0.81	<10
832356.....	0.0926	8.15 $\pm$ 0.96	2.34 $\pm$ 0.40	<10
824674.....	0.1854	4.58 $\pm$ 0.74	5.26 $\pm$ 1.38	<10
840778.....	0.2614	8.05 $\pm$ 1.06	2.56 $\pm$ 0.53	<10
832229.....	0.1862	7.33 $\pm$ 1.07	5.64 $\pm$ 1.28	<10
824103.....	0.1653	6.43 $\pm$ 0.87	2.41 $\pm$ 0.47	<10
848386.....	0.2861	6.60 $\pm$ 0.81	4.25 $\pm$ 0.82	<10
831755.....	0.2656	7.80 $\pm$ 1.26	3.60 $\pm$ 0.98	<10
840553.....	0.1255	6.87 $\pm$ 0.92	2.28 $\pm$ 0.52	<10
823563.....	0.0754	98.04 $\pm$ 11.45	60.04 $\pm$ 9.20	<10
830561.....	0.1234	25.84 $\pm$ 4.00	15.09 $\pm$ 3.43	<10
840320.....	0.2605	5.95 $\pm$ 0.72	6.09 $\pm$ 1.68	<10
831576.....	0.2512	5.66 $\pm$ 0.66	1.32 $\pm$ 0.23	<10
841054.....	0.2726	5.53 $\pm$ 0.83	1.65 $\pm$ 0.36	<10
839733.....	0.2485	6.34 $\pm$ 1.60	5.26 $\pm$ 1.65	<10
830791.....	0.2484	6.41 $\pm$ 0.97	2.06 $\pm$ 0.62	<10
830588.....	0.1711	6.42 $\pm$ 0.74	1.84 $\pm$ 0.29	<10
848030.....	0.1877	10.94 $\pm$ 1.24	4.03 $\pm$ 0.63	<10
839005.....	0.2685	4.69 $\pm$ 0.65	3.24 $\pm$ 0.95	<10
830461.....	0.2217	10.79 $\pm$ 2.73	5.84 $\pm$ 1.52	<10
830823.....	0.1215	35.91 $\pm$ 4.29	22.34 $\pm$ 3.45	<10
830514.....	0.1273	11.34 $\pm$ 1.93	8.17 $\pm$ 1.83	<10
829482.....	0.1273	16.71 $\pm$ 2.09	4.96 $\pm$ 0.98	<10

TABLE 3—Continued

zCOSMOS ID	$z_{\text{spec}}$	H $\alpha$ $\lambda$ 6563	[N II] $\lambda$ 6584	$\log_{10} \nu L_{\nu}^{24 \mu\text{m}}$
830643.....	0.2170	14.57 $\pm$ 9.63	9.42 $\pm$ 2.78	<10
837726.....	0.2210	7.97 $\pm$ 1.32	2.38 $\pm$ 0.66	<10
846444.....	0.2195	10.12 $\pm$ 2.04	6.66 $\pm$ 1.86	<10
837325.....	0.2197	12.48 $\pm$ 1.44	4.96 $\pm$ 0.83	<10
837332.....	0.1139	7.51 $\pm$ 0.84	0.72 $\pm$ 0.12	<10
837461.....	0.2198	11.96 $\pm$ 2.57	3.10 $\pm$ 0.66	<10
837233.....	0.2135	9.60 $\pm$ 1.73	3.11 $\pm$ 0.59	<10
836248.....	0.2602	7.68 $\pm$ 0.88	3.00 $\pm$ 0.70	<10
828432.....	0.1769	6.33 $\pm$ 0.77	1.26 $\pm$ 0.25	<10
843243.....	0.1035	9.79 $\pm$ 1.22	2.98 $\pm$ 0.57	<10
843517.....	0.0832	22.55 $\pm$ 2.64	6.23 $\pm$ 1.16	<10
842703.....	0.1794	10.34 $\pm$ 1.23	4.44 $\pm$ 0.70	<10
845465.....	0.1240	20.51 $\pm$ 2.71	6.98 $\pm$ 1.86	<10
844830.....	0.0731	11.35 $\pm$ 1.31	1.08 $\pm$ 0.22	<10
813014.....	0.1332	34.80 $\pm$ 4.17	8.69 $\pm$ 1.52	<10
811073.....	0.1682	5.23 $\pm$ 0.70	2.19 $\pm$ 0.41	<10
837327.....	0.2190	15.37 $\pm$ 1.77	4.96 $\pm$ 0.87	<10
817508.....	0.1866	14.22 $\pm$ 2.11	6.66 $\pm$ 1.50	<10
827229.....	0.2208	4.32 $\pm$ 0.57	1.41 $\pm$ 0.55	<10
844388.....	0.2158	5.75 $\pm$ 0.74	1.10 $\pm$ 0.23	<10
835421.....	0.1237	10.88 $\pm$ 1.29	2.56 $\pm$ 0.49	<10
812879.....	0.2506	22.30 $\pm$ 2.35	2.54 $\pm$ 0.46	10–11
820123.....	0.2198	15.79 $\pm$ 5.18	7.45 $\pm$ 3.87	10–11
811924.....	0.2204	24.11 $\pm$ 5.74	14.57 $\pm$ 5.28	10–11
824857.....	0.1859	45.74 $\pm$ 4.85	15.56 $\pm$ 2.69	10–11
833897.....	0.2639	12.45 $\pm$ 2.17	3.24 $\pm$ 0.96	10–11
846186.....	0.2500	20.58 $\pm$ 5.66	13.57 $\pm$ 4.64	10–11
825188.....	0.2510	19.34 $\pm$ 4.26	5.75 $\pm$ 1.44	10–11
845491.....	0.2501	12.18 $\pm$ 2.57	6.20 $\pm$ 1.84	10–11

NOTES.—All fluxes are aperture and extinction corrected. H $\alpha$   $\lambda$ 6563 fluxes also include corrections for stellar absorption. The flux units are  $10^{-15}$  ergs s $^{-1}$  cm $^{-2}$ . The zCOSMOS BLAGNs have been excluded. The source flagged with an asterisk corresponds to an X-ray AGN with  $L_X > 10^{42.5}$  ergs s $^{-1}$ .




FIG. 13.—[N II]  $\lambda$ 6584/H $\alpha$   $\lambda$ 6563 line ratios vs. redshift for our galaxies in the cases that both H $\alpha$   $\lambda$ 6563 and [N II]  $\lambda$ 6584 have EW  $>$  5 Å. The gray scale and size code for circles are the same as in previous figures. Small open circles indicate measurements for sources with H $\alpha$   $\lambda$ 6563 EW  $\geq$  5 Å but [N II]  $\lambda$ 6584 EW  $<$  5 Å. [See the electronic edition of the Journal for a color version of this figure.]




FIG. 14.—BPT diagram for our 24  $\mu$ m sources at  $0.2 < z < 0.3$  with  $EW > 5 \text{ \AA}$  for the four  $H\beta \lambda 4861$ ,  $[O \text{ III}] \lambda 5007$ ,  $H\alpha \lambda 6563$ , and  $[N \text{ II}] \lambda 6584$  lines. Small open circles indicate sources for which only  $H\alpha \lambda 6563$  and  $H\beta \lambda 4861$  have  $EW > 5 \text{ \AA}$ . Asterisks indicate measurements on composite spectra at median redshifts  $z = 0.25$  and  $0.35$ . The gray scale and size code for circles and asterisks are the same as in previous figures. Gray dots correspond to measurements performed on all other zCOSMOS galaxies with  $H\alpha \lambda 6563$  and  $H\beta \lambda 4861$   $EW > 5 \text{ \AA}$  at similar redshifts (F. Lamareille et al. 2008, in preparation). The dashed line indicates the starburst/AGN division line proposed by Kewley et al. (2001), while the dot-dashed line corresponds to the limit for composite systems (Kauffmann et al. 2003a). [See the electronic edition of the *Journal* for a color version of this figure.]

modest IR luminosities, might have a composite star-forming/AGN nature.

### 7.3. Oxygen Abundances

We used the measured and corrected line fluxes to estimate the oxygen abundances  $[O/H]$  of our 24  $\mu$ m-selected galaxies, whenever possible.

Several methods exist to derive abundances from emission-line ratios, all of which have both advantages and disadvantages. For example, the Pettini & Pagel (2004) formulation uses both the  $[O \text{ III}] \lambda 5007/H\beta \lambda 4861$  and  $[N \text{ II}] \lambda 6584/H\alpha \lambda 6563$  ratios, but the  $[N \text{ II}] \lambda 6584/H\alpha \lambda 6563$  ratio is particularly affected by AGN

radiation fields, so the resulting abundance can be very uncertain when part of the spectral light has a nonstellar origin. The more widely used  $R_{23}$  parameter (Pagel et al. 1979) is based on the  $[O \text{ II}] \lambda 3727$ ,  $H\beta \lambda 4861$ , and  $[O \text{ III}] \lambda \lambda 4959, 5007$  lines, all of which are usually observed in optical spectra. However, the oxygen abundance is two-valued with respect to  $R_{23}$ , and any given value of  $R_{23}$  lower than the maximum is compatible with a low- and a high-metallicity solution. When available, additional emission lines are sometimes used to try to lift this degeneracy. Another common approach is to consider only one of the branches (usually that of high metallicity) in the  $[O/H]$  versus  $R_{23}$  relation. Further discussion about different abundance estimators can be found in, e.g., Kobulnicky et al. (1999) and Kewley & Dopita (2002).

We used the algorithm described by Maier et al. (2005) to derive oxygen abundances, which is based on the Kewley & Dopita (2002) model. This algorithm also uses the information on different line fluxes but performs a simultaneous fit to all available emission lines, with three free parameters: the extinction, the ionization parameter (which is proportional to the flux of ionizing photons), and the abundance  $[O/H]$ .

We implemented this algorithm in two redshift ranges: (1)  $0.2 < z < 0.3$ , for the same galaxies shown in the BPT diagram, for which we have  $H\beta \lambda 4861$ ,  $[O \text{ III}] \lambda 5007$ ,  $H\alpha \lambda 6563$ , and  $[N \text{ II}] \lambda 6584$  measurements; and (2)  $0.5 < z < 0.7$ , for galaxies with  $[O \text{ II}] \lambda 3727$ ,  $H\beta \lambda 4861$ , and  $[O \text{ III}] \lambda 5007$  measurements. In all cases, we fixed the value for the extinction to that derived from the balance between the  $H\alpha \lambda 6563$  or  $H\beta \lambda 4861$  and the total (IR+UV) SFRs; i.e., we used the algorithm with only two free parameters. We excluded from this analysis a few sources belonging to the group of galaxies with  $H\beta \lambda 4861/H\alpha \lambda 6563$  ratios close to a case B recombination but large  $(L_{70 \mu\text{m}} + L_{2000})/L_{2000}$  ratios (see § 6). All zCOSMOS BLAGNs are also excluded (as they were from all our previous line diagnostics).

For sources in the redshift range 1, the algorithm nearly always produces either nondegenerate solutions or two solutions of relatively high abundance, in such a way that a useful lower limit can be set. Galaxies in range 2, in contrast, have the same problem as when using the  $R_{23}$  parameter: unless we are close to a maximum  $R_{23}$  value, there is one possible high-metallicity solution and one low-metallicity solution.

Figure 16 shows the obtained abundance values as a function of redshift. Nondegenerate cases are indicated with filled circles with error bars. Circles with upward-pointing arrows at  $z < 0.3$  indicate lower limits. As before, small open circles represent




FIG. 15.—Same composite spectra shown in the bottom panel of Fig. 4 and in the top panel of Fig. 5, but in this case extended beyond observed wavelength  $\lambda = 8500 \text{ \AA}$ , i.e., the region significantly affected by fringing. The composite has been made by stacking the spectra of 38 and 70 galaxies, respectively, with  $\nu L_{\nu}^{24 \mu\text{m}} < 10^{10} L_{\odot}$  and  $10^{10} L_{\odot} < \nu L_{\nu}^{24 \mu\text{m}} < 10^{11} L_{\odot}$ , and with median redshifts  $z = 0.34$  and  $0.35$ .




FIG. 16.—Oxygen abundances derived for 24  $\mu\text{m}/z\text{COSMOS}$  galaxies at different redshifts. All the abundances have been calculated with the same technique, assuming the dust extinction to be known and fixed (see text). Cases of nondegenerate abundances are indicated by filled circles with errors bars. Upward-pointing arrows indicate lower limits. Cases of degenerate metallicities are represented by open circles (upper branch) and crosses (for the corresponding lower branch values). The gray scale and size code for circles are the same as in previous figures. The circle with a plus sign within corresponds to a (narrow line) X-ray AGN with  $L_X > 10^{42.5}$  ergs s $^{-1}$ . The dashed line indicates the value of the solar metallicity determined by Asplund et al. (2004). [See the electronic edition of the Journal for a color version of this figure.]

galaxies for which  $\text{H}\alpha$   $\lambda 6563$  and  $\text{H}\beta$   $\lambda 4861$  EW  $> 5$  Å, but with at least one of the other line EWs below that cut.

Among our 26 galaxies with computed abundances at  $0.5 < z < 0.7$ , 9 ( $\sim 35\%$ ) have nondegenerate values. For the remaining 17, there is an upper branch (*large open circles*) and a lower branch (*large black crosses*) solution. The dashed line indicates the solar abundance derived by Asplund et al. (2004). As we have computed all the abundances using the same technique, it makes sense to compare the results we obtained at different redshifts.

The  $\sim 35\%$  of nondegenerate abundances at redshifts  $0.5 < z < 0.7$  are solar or subsolar, while most of the lower redshift metallicities at  $0.2 < z < 0.3$  are solar or supersolar. We note that the important point here is the relative values of the abundances at different redshifts. The fact that they are sub- or supersolar is incidental in our comparison, as actually different metallicity indicators have systematic differences of up to  $\sim 0.5$  dex among them (e.g., Kewley & Dopita 2002; Ellison & Kewley 2006). For example, if we used the Pettini & Pagel (2004) prescription for our galaxies at  $0.2 < z < 0.3$ , we would obtain abundances that are  $\sim 0.2$ – $0.3$  dex lower than those shown in Figure 16.

At  $0.5 < z < 0.7$ , nondegenerate abundances correspond to cases with large  $R_{23}$  values, i.e., with relatively high  $[\text{O III}] \lambda 5007 / \text{H}\beta$   $\lambda 4861$  and  $[\text{O II}] \lambda 3727 / \text{H}\beta$   $\lambda 4861$  ratios. These ratios are illustrated in Figure 17. We see that all these galaxies with nondegenerate abundances lie within or very close to the star-forming galaxy/AGN separation region proposed by Lamareille et al. (2004). This is a potential concern in our analysis: the derived metallicities of these objects could be affected by the plausible presence of an AGN. We note, however, that there is only one X-ray AGN among these galaxies and it lies just below the sep-




FIG. 17.— $[\text{O III}] \lambda 5007 / \text{H}\beta$   $\lambda 4861$  vs.  $[\text{O II}] \lambda 3727 / \text{H}\beta$   $\lambda 4861$  ratios for the galaxies with derived metallicities at  $0.5 < z < 0.7$  shown in Figs. 16 and 18. Filled circles indicate cases of nondegenerate metallicities, while open circles correspond to cases with degenerate values. The solid and dashed lines are, respectively, the median and confidence limits of the empirical separation between star-forming galaxies and AGNs derived by Lamareille et al. (2004). The (narrow line) X-ray AGN with  $L_X > 10^{42.5}$  ergs s $^{-1}$  has  $\log_{10}([\text{O II}] \lambda 3727 / \text{H}\beta) = 0.81 \pm 0.20$  and  $\log_{10}([\text{O III}] \lambda 5007 / \text{H}\beta) = 0.36 \pm 0.20$  (*large circle behind the small one*). [See the electronic edition of the Journal for a color version of this figure.]

aration region (*large circle behind the small one*), showing that the association of high-ionization lines and AGN activity is not straightforward and has to be taken with care. Besides, strong ionization lines such as  $[\text{O II}] \lambda 3727$  and  $[\text{O III}] \lambda 5007$ , resulting in very high  $[\text{O III}] \lambda 5007 / \text{H}\beta$   $\lambda 4861$  and  $[\text{O II}] \lambda 3727 / \text{H}\beta$   $\lambda 4861$  values, can also be produced by very young massive stars (see, e.g., Charlot & Longhetti 2001), with no need of invoking the presence of an AGN.

The galaxies with degenerate abundances at  $0.5 < z < 0.7$  are likely to have high metallicities (see the mass-metallicity relation discussion in § 7.4 and Fig. 18). They constitute  $\sim 65\%$  of our higher redshift metallicity sample. These upper branch metallicity values are comparable to those we obtained at lower redshift sources and those derived for *IRAS*-selected LIRGs and ULIRGs by Rupke et al. (2008; Fig. 16, *small crosses*). The remaining 35% of our galaxies at  $0.5 < z < 0.7$  have lower abundances than the vast majority of low-redshift IR sources. These results suggest that at least  $\frac{1}{3}$  of the hosts of the most intense IR emission have chemically evolved from redshifts  $z \sim 0.7$  to  $\sim 0.2$ .

#### 7.4. The Mass-Metallicity Relation

The mass-metallicity (or luminosity-metallicity) relation for different galaxy populations has been the subject of multiple studies in the literature (e.g., Zaritsky et al. 1994; Contini et al. 2002; Lilly et al. 2003; Tremonti et al. 2004), and it has been found to evolve with redshift (e.g., Kobulnicky et al. 2003; Contini et al. 2008). This relation can be explained within the context of hierarchical models of galaxy formation and by including the effects of supernova-driven winds, which help to remove metals especially out of the less massive galaxies (e.g., Tissera et al. 2005; Brooks et al. 2007).






FIG. 18.—Oxygen abundances vs. already assembled stellar masses for our 24  $\mu$ m-selected galaxies. *Top*:  $0.2 < z < 0.3$  galaxies. *Bottom*:  $0.5 < z < 0.7$  galaxies. The symbol code is the same as in previous figures. Triangles in the bottom panel indicate galaxies from the CFRS (Lilly et al. 1995) with known metallicities (Maier et al. 2005). Filled triangles correspond to CFRS galaxies with  $ISO$  15  $\mu$ m detections (Flores et al. 1999). The solid line shows the best-fit mass-metallicity relation obtained by Tremonti et al. (2004) on SDSS data. The dashed lines correspond to its evolution at  $0.5 < z < 0.7$  and  $0.7 < z < 1.0$ , as derived from all zCOSMOS-bright 10k galaxies (Contini et al. 2008). [See the electronic edition of the Journal for a color version of this figure.]

It is then of interest to see whether IR-selected galaxies follow a similar relation. Figure 18 shows metallicities versus already assembled stellar masses for our 24  $\mu$ m galaxies (at  $0.2 < z < 0.3$  and  $0.5 < z < 0.7$ , in the top and bottom panels, respectively). Stellar masses for all zCOSMOS galaxies have been computed by fitting Bruzual & Charlot models to the multiwavelength ( $U$  band to 4.5  $\mu$ m) SEDs of these sources (M. Bolzonella et al. 2008, in

preparation). All stellar masses assume a Salpeter (1955) IMF over stellar masses  $M = 0.1$ – $100 M_{\odot}$ . As before, filled circles correspond to nondegenerate metallicity values, while open circles and crosses show the upper and lower branch solutions, respectively, in all the degenerate cases. For a comparison, we have also included a sample of  $0.5 \lesssim z \lesssim 0.9$  galaxies from the CFRS (triangles in the bottom panel of Fig. 18). All these galaxies have secure metallicity determinations (Maier et al. 2005). Three of them have been detected by  $ISO$  at 15  $\mu$ m (Flores et al. 1999; filled triangles).

The solid line in both panels of Figure 18 is the best mass-metallicity relation fitted by Tremonti et al. (2004) on Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) galaxies at  $z \sim 0.1$ . The dashed lines in the bottom panel are the corresponding relations at  $0.5 < z < 0.7$  and  $0.7 < z < 1.0$ , as obtained by Contini et al. (2008) based on the entire zCOSMOS-bright 10k sample. Both the Tremonti et al. (2004) and the Contini et al. (2008) relations have been rescaled to the Salpeter IMF. Instead, we did not modify any of their metallicities. The Tremonti et al. (2004) calibration produces very similar metallicities as the Kewley & Dopita (2002) method (Ellison & Kewley 2006). The Contini et al. (2008) metallicities are basically the same as ours for the common zCOSMOS objects. Thus, we left the Contini et al. (2008) metallicities in their original scale.

Inspection of the top panel of Figure 18 shows that some of our 24  $\mu$ m-selected galaxies at  $0.2 < z < 0.3$  have metallicities that are at most marginally consistent with the general mass-metallicity relation at  $z \sim 0.1$ . However, in many cases, we only show lower limits, so they still have the possibility of being in agreement with the general mass-metallicity trend.

At higher redshifts,  $\sim 65\%$  of our galaxies have one of the two possible metallicity values consistent with the general mass-metallicity relation derived by Contini et al. (2008) for all zCOSMOS-bright 10k galaxies. However, most of our galaxies with a nondegenerate metallicity determination appear to have lower abundances than expected. Only two out of these nine secure-metallicity galaxies have stellar masses and abundances more or less in consistence with the extrapolation of the Contini et al. (2008) relations. The other seven (i.e.,  $\sim 27\%$  of the total  $0.5 < z < 0.7$  sample) are significantly out of the general mass-metallicity trend.

Several of the CFRS galaxies shown in Figure 18 have also been found to be underabundant with respect to galaxies in the upper metallicity branch (Maier et al. 2005). We note, however, that their characteristic stellar masses are, in general, quite smaller than for most of our galaxies. So, the discrepancies we observe for some of our IR galaxies are considerably more important.

As we discussed in § 7.3, most of these galaxies have high ionization levels, so there exists the concern that the plausible presence of a narrow-line AGN could be in part responsible for the low measured metallicities. However, it is not clear that the discrepancies with the general mass-metallicity relation are due to the effects of a plausible AGN. For example, one of the sources with the highest ionization ratios  $\log_{10}(\text{O III}/\text{H}\beta) = 0.90 \pm 0.10$  (see Fig. 17) has a stellar mass consistent with the extrapolation of the Contini et al. (2008) relations. On the other hand, two out of three of the galaxies with secure metallicities that are just within the starburst region in the  $[\text{O III}] \lambda 5007/\text{H}\beta$   $\lambda 4861$  versus  $[\text{O II}] \lambda 3727/\text{H}\beta$   $\lambda 4861$  diagram have metallicities significantly below the expected trend.

From the study of a sample of  $IRAS$ -selected galaxies, Rupke et al. (2008) found that the vast majority of LIRGs and ULIRGs at low ( $z < 0.3$ ) redshifts are significantly underabundant. We cannot confirm this from our metallicity measurements at similar




FIG. 19.—*Left:* Redshift distributions of all BLAGNs in the zCOSMOS-bright 10k catalog (*open histogram*) and those detected in the MIPS-shallow catalog (*filled histogram*). *Right:* 24  $\mu\text{m}$  luminosity distributions of all zCOSMOS-bright BLAGNs in our sample (*thick line, open histogram*) and the narrow-line IR sources associated with X-ray AGNs with  $L_X > 10^{42.5}$  ergs s<sup>-1</sup> (*thin line, filled histogram*). [See the electronic edition of the *Journal* for a color version of this figure.]

redshifts, but the difference is probably due to the fact that our IR galaxies at  $z < 0.3$  are less IR luminous than Rupke et al. (2008) galaxies and more similar to typical optical galaxies at low redshifts.

Instead, we can confirm the underabundance for a fraction ( $\sim \frac{1}{3}$ ) of our 24  $\mu\text{m}$  sources at  $0.5 < z < 0.7$ . To explain the relatively low metallicities of their galaxies, Rupke et al. (2008) proposed that, during the IR phase, less abundant gas from outer parts of the galaxies could flow inward to the central regions and dilute the nuclear metal content. Although this mechanism appears to be common in gas-rich mergers (e.g., Barnes & Hernquist 1996), its influence is less clear in regular star-forming disks, as many luminous IR galaxies at  $z < 1$  are known to be. Supernova-driven outflows are also known to effectively remove metals from a galaxy (e.g., Dalcanton 2007), but it seems implausible that this mechanism alone can explain the underabundance observed in IR galaxies (see the discussion in Rupke et al. 2008). More likely, a combination of the two phenomena could have taken place at the same time. Thus, gas mixing produced by inflows and outflows during the IR phase could temporarily move galaxies apart from the mass-metallicity relation.

#### 8. AGNs IN THE 24 $\mu\text{m}$ SAMPLE

Optical spectra also allow us to test the presence of AGNs within our 24  $\mu\text{m}$  sample. The zCOSMOS classification includes a special flag for BLAGNs, generally recognized by the presence of broad H $\alpha$   $\lambda 6563$  or H $\beta$   $\lambda 4861$  lines, for the shape of the continuum, and also for the presence of Mg II and C III in emission in high- $z$  sources.

The entire zCOSMOS-bright 10k catalog contains 132 BLAGNs with good-quality spectroscopic redshifts and spectra, all of which have  $I < 22.5$  AB mag. A total of 64 out of these 132 BLAGNs are 24  $\mu\text{m}$  detected with  $S_{24\mu\text{m}} > 0.30$  mJy. The histograms in the left panel of Figure 19 show the redshift distributions of the two samples, both of which include objects up to  $z > 3$ .

The comparison of both redshift distributions shows that, at least up to  $z \approx 2$ , the fraction of  $I < 22.5$  BLAGNs that are IR detected has little variation with redshift. This fact indicates that the sensitivity limit of the 24  $\mu\text{m}$  survey is not the only factor that determines the IR detection of these sources. Other physical

differences might mean that some BLAGNs are not IR detected, even when lying at lower redshifts than others that are detected.

Within the unification scheme for AGNs (Antonucci 1993), broad lines are present in the spectra when the source is oriented face-on and the central gas clouds are visible. The surrounding dusty torus is responsible for the IR emission. The flux at IR wavelengths depends on the incoming flux of UV photons from the central engine, so it is related to the amount of material accreted onto the central black hole. Thus, the difference in the IR emission of these type 1 AGNs could be directly indicating the accreting power in these objects.

As expected, the most luminous IR sources in our flux-limited 24  $\mu\text{m}$  sample are AGNs at redshifts  $z > 1$  (see Fig. 3), particularly BLAGNs. AGNs are known to dominate the bright end of the mid-IR luminosity function at high redshifts (Caputi et al. 2007). To investigate the presence of other obvious (narrow line) AGNs within our sample, we looked for X-ray counterparts with  $L_X > 10^{42.5}$  ergs s<sup>-1</sup> in the *XMM-Newton* maps of the COSMOS field (Hasinger et al. 2007). We found 35 IR sources associated with narrow-line  $L_X > 10^{42.5}$  erg s<sup>-1</sup> sources. The distribution of 24  $\mu\text{m}$  luminosities  $\nu L_\nu^{24\mu\text{m}}$  of these galaxies compared to the distribution of luminosities for the BLAGNs is shown in the right panel of Figure 19. It is clear from these two distributions that the BLAGNs in our sample are considerably more luminous in the IR than the most powerful narrow-line AGNs.

The fact that all of the identified most luminous IR AGNs are BLAGNs might be partially due to the optical magnitude cut limiting the zCOSMOS-bright survey. Some narrow-line AGNs at high redshifts could also be IR luminous, but they are probably too faint in the optical bands to be zCOSMOS targets. The prevalence of BLAGNs among the most luminous IR galaxies detected in zCOSMOS-bright could also be a consequence of the orientation effect: in BLAGNs, the optical nucleus is more exposed than in edge-on-oriented active sources.

On the other hand, 45 of our 24  $\mu\text{m}$  sources are characterized by an IRAC power-law SED, as defined in § 3. A total of 30 out of 45 of these sources are also zCOSMOS-bright BLAGNs. To inspect whether the remaining 15 IRAC power-law sources had also an independent signature of AGN activity, we looked for counterparts of these sources in the X-ray catalogs for the COSMOS field (Hasinger et al. 2007). We found that 11 out of




FIG. 20.—Composite spectrum of eight IRAC power-law SED 24  $\mu\text{m}$  sources at  $0.5 < z < 0.85$  whose individual spectra are not classified as BLAGNs.

15 of the IRAC power-law sources not identified as zCOSMOS BLAGNs are detected in X-rays.

The composite zCOSMOS spectra of these objects also suggest the presence of active sources. Figure 20 shows the composite average spectrum of eight IRAC power-law sources at  $0.5 < z < 0.85$  for which the individual zCOSMOS spectra do not correspond to BLAGNs. We see in this spectrum high  $[\text{O III}] \lambda 5007/\text{H}\beta \lambda 4861$  and  $[\text{O III}] \lambda 5007/[\text{O II}] \lambda 3727$  line ratios and also the presence of  $[\text{Ne III}]$  in emission. All these characteristics suggest that most of the IRAC power-law sources that are not individually identified with zCOSMOS BLAGNs are either narrow-line AGNs or composite AGN/starburst systems.

### 9. THE INFRARED PHASE WITHIN THE GALAXY STAR FORMATION HISTORY

Optical spectra have also features that provide information on the past SFH of galaxies. For example, the presence of higher order Balmer lines in absorption (see Figs. 4–6) indicates recent activity, i.e., star formation occurred within the last gigayear (e.g., Dressler & Gunn 1983). Recently, Kauffmann et al. (2003a) studied 4000 Å break strengths  $D_n(4000)$  and H $\delta$  EW for a sample of local SDSS galaxies and showed how these two quantities can be used to put constraints on the galaxy mean stellar age and SFH.

Figure 21 shows the expected evolution of the H $\delta$  EW versus  $D_n(4000)$  as a function of age for galaxies with different SFHs (in this section, positive values of the EW indicate line absorption). We modeled this evolution using the Bruzual & Charlot (Bruzual 2007) templates for galaxies with exponentially declining star formations (with  $\tau = 0.01$  and 0.1 Gyr; *solid and dotted lines, respectively*) and a constant star formation (*dashed line*). We measured  $D_n(4000)$  as the ratio of the average flux densities between the 4000–4100 Å and 3850–3950 Å bands (Balogh et al. 1999). We only considered models with solar metallicities, as both  $D_n(4000)$  and the H $\delta$  EW have little dependence on metallicity at ages  $< 1$  Gyr after the onset of star formation (see Kauffmann et al. 2003a). As we show below, these are the timescales of relevance for IR galaxies.

Our aim is then to locate IR galaxies in the H $\delta$  EW- $D_n(4000)$  diagram and see whether we can constrain at what stage of a galaxy life the IR phase is occurring.

The measurement of  $D_n(4000)$  can be easily done on individual spectra. We show in Figure 22 the resulting  $D_n(4000)$  measurements for all our 24  $\mu\text{m}$  galaxies at  $0.6 < z < 1.0$ . The zCOSMOS BLAGNs have been excluded from this analysis.




FIG. 21.—Expected evolution of H $\delta$  vs.  $D_n(4000)$  for galaxies with different SFHs: exponentially declining (with  $\tau = 0.01$  and 0.1 Gyr; *solid and dotted lines, respectively*) and a constant star formation (*dashed line*). The evolution has been measured using the Bruzual & Charlot (Bruzual 2007) synthetic templates.

We corrected the  $D_n(4000)$  measurements for dust extinction, although these corrections are very small, given the narrow wavelength range considered. For a comparison, we show the  $D_n(4000)$  measurements for all the non-IR-detected zCOSMOS galaxies in the same redshift range (Fig. 22, *gray dots*; F. Lamareille et al. 2008, in preparation).

We see from Figure 22 that the  $D_n(4000)$  strengths of IR-selected galaxies are in all cases quite small [ $D_n(4000) \lesssim 1.3$ ],




FIG. 22.— $D_n(4000)$  values for 24  $\mu\text{m}$  galaxies as a function of redshift. Gray dots indicate all the other zCOSMOS galaxies in the same redshift range (F. Lamareille et al. 2008, in preparation). [See the electronic edition of the Journal for a color version of this figure.]




FIG. 23.—Average location of  $24\ \mu\text{m}$  galaxies in the  $H\delta$  vs.  $D_n(4000)$  diagram. The gray scale and size code for the asterisks are the same as in previous figures. Thick lines represent the same modeled evolution shown in Fig. 21. The thin solid lines show the effect of secondary bursts of star formation that produce 5% or 10% of the already assembled stellar mass. We show examples where the burst starts when the galaxy is 2 or 3 Gyr old. The numbers indicated with a plus sign in front correspond to the time passed since the onset of the secondary burst. [See the electronic edition of the Journal for a color version of this figure.]

and they do not depend on redshift. The values we obtain for  $D_n(4000)$  in  $24\ \mu\text{m}$  galaxies are in agreement with those measured by Marcillac et al. (2006) on a sample of 25 ISO-selected LIRGs at  $z \sim 0.7$ . The small values for  $D_n(4000)$  indicate the presence of young generations of stars in IR galaxies. We note that IR galaxies display the smallest  $D_n(4000)$  values among zCOSMOS galaxies, for which  $D_n(4000)$  can take values up to 2 or more.

In fact, the existence of young stars is expected in galaxies that are IR selected, i.e., that are selected to have ongoing star formation. However, an old ( $>1$  Gyr) galaxy with ongoing declining star formation displays quite redder colors. The fact that  $D_n(4000)$  is invariably small indicates that IR galaxies either are young systems or are experiencing a rejuvenation of their stellar content.

Unfortunately, the  $H\delta$  EWs are quite small and the associated errors too large as to rely on individual measurements in most cases. We then measured  $H\delta$  only on average stacked spectra, for which the signal-to-noise ratio is much higher. We constructed 11 composites corresponding to galaxies of similar IR luminosities in narrow redshift bins between  $z = 0.6$  and 1.0. Each composite is the average of 17–32 individual spectra, depending on the case.

To correct the  $H\delta$  EW for line filling, we measured the  $H\gamma$  emission line on each composite, corrected it for stellar absorption, and assumed an intrinsic decrement  $H\gamma/H\delta = 1.80$  (for a case B recombination with temperature  $T = 10,000$  K; Osterbrock 1989). Beyond  $z \sim 0.9$ ,  $H\gamma$  is within the fringing-affected region of our spectra and its measurement becomes quite uncertain. Thus, at these high redshifts, we simply used the same line-filling corrections as in immediately lower redshift bins. The resulting average  $H\delta$  EW versus  $D_n(4000)$  measurements are shown with asterisks in Figure 23.

IR galaxies occupy, on average, a well-defined place in the  $H\delta$  EW– $D_n(4000)$  diagram. The ideal single constant SFH is con-

sistent with the average location of some IR galaxies, but, still in this case, the associated ages are very young ( $<1$  Gyr). For most IR galaxies, however, the track of a constant SFH is well above their location in the  $H\delta$  EW– $D_n(4000)$  diagram.

The locus of IR galaxies in the  $H\delta$  EW– $D_n(4000)$  plane can, instead, be well explained by secondary bursts of star formation (Figure 23, *thin solid lines*; see also Hammer et al. 2005; Marcillac et al. 2006). These secondary bursts only need to form an additional minor amount (5%–10%) of stellar mass in these galaxies to produce the  $H\delta$  EW and  $D_n(4000)$  values observed. Here we confirm that such a scenario can explain the average properties of the brightest IR galaxies found all the way from redshifts  $z = 0.6$  through 1.0.

Two additional interesting conclusions can be extracted from Figure 23: first, the small  $D_n(4000)$  values and relatively small  $H\delta$  EWs displayed by some composites indicate that the rejuvenation of the stellar populations occurs, in many cases, in relatively old ( $>1$  Gyr) galaxies. We know that, at  $z < 1$ , LIRGs and ULIRGs are generally associated with intermediate to large stellar mass galaxies ( $M \gtrsim 1 \times 10^{10} M_\odot$ ; see Caputi et al. 2006b). So, the brightest IR emission at these redshifts is being hosted by galaxies that already formed most of their stellar mass at earlier epochs.

Secondly, the average location of our sources on the  $H\delta$  EW– $D_n(4000)$  plane strongly constrains when the IR phase is being produced: on average, galaxies are IR bright in the elapsed time between  $10^7$  and  $10^8$  yr after the onset of the secondary burst. We note that our results do not completely exclude the possibility that some galaxies have more prolonged IR phases characterized by more or less constant SFRs. Galaxies that still have sufficiently large gas reservoirs remaining at  $z < 1$  could in principle sustain the typical SFRs of LIRGs ( $\sim 10$ – $100 M_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}$ ) for some longer times. However, given the already assembled stellar masses of LIRGs and ULIRGs, this situation is probably implausible in most cases.

Most of the dust contained in IR galaxies is produced by asymptotic giant branch (AGB) stars, which start to inject dust into the interstellar medium only a few hundreds of megayears after the production of the burst (e.g., Dwek 2005). The fact that the IR phase occurs between  $10^7$  and  $10^8$  yr after the onset of the secondary burst indicates that the dust being heated during the IR phase is probably “old dust,” i.e., dust that has been created by previous generations of stars.

## 10. YOUNG POWERFUL STARBURSTS OR FURTHER AGN ACTIVITY?

We have seen in the previous section that the bulk of the IR phase in galaxies at  $z < 1$  is observed, on average,  $10^7$ – $10^8$  yr after the onset of a secondary burst of star formation. The minimum average time for the detection of the IR phase is in part simply due to the lower rate of galaxies that can be detected in shorter ( $<10^7$  yr) elapsed times. But, if this were the only factor determining the rate of detection of younger starbursts, one would possibly expect to find around 1 out of 10 IR galaxies being at the earliest stages of a new burst of star formation.

We looked for galaxies with signatures of young ( $<10^7$  yr) starbursts in their optical spectra within our  $24\ \mu\text{m}$  sample. At early stages of star formation, the EW of absorption features associated with high-order Balmer lines is expected to be small (see Fig. 23). In addition, star formation should be sufficiently strong as to clearly detect even the higher order Balmer lines in emission (except, maybe, for extremely obscured sources). As we explained in § 9, the typical EW widths measured for  $H\delta$  on different spectra are, in general, small and the associated errors quite large as to consider these measurements on an individual




FIG. 24.—Example of a 24  $\mu$ m galaxy candidate to be at the earliest stages of a burst of star formation. *Left*: Spectrum over the total observed wavelength range. *Right*: Detailed view of the region of high-order Balmer lines.

basis. In spite of this, we used these tentative measurements of H $\delta$  to look for candidate galaxies to be hosts of very young starbursts.

We found four likely candidates for young starbursts within our 24  $\mu$ m sample. The spectra of all of these galaxies are characterized by the presence of H $\delta$  and H $\epsilon$  in emission. One case also shows a slightly broad He II line (see example in Fig. 24), which is a signature of stellar winds originated in Wolf-Rayet stars in young starburst galaxies (Schaerer et al. 1999).

We note, however, that other characteristics of these spectra could also suggest the possibility of AGN activity, in particular, the presence of an [Ne III] emission line or the high [O III]  $\lambda$ 5007/[O II]  $\lambda$ 3727 ratios. Actually, both star formation and AGN activity are likely to coexist in these objects. Unfortunately, the lack of spectral coverage for the H $\alpha$   $\lambda$ 6563 and [N II]  $\lambda$ 6584 lines prevents us from further constraining the nature of these sources.

We looked for X-ray counterparts of these objects in the *XMM-Newton* catalog for the COSMOS field (Hasinger et al. 2007). None of them appear to be identified with an X-ray source. These galaxies are not identified either in the deeper *Chandra* X-ray maps for the COSMOS field (C-COSMOS; PI M. Elvis).

We found three more galaxies in our sample that also have H $\delta$  and H $\epsilon$  in emission, but the lines are weaker and the noise in the spectra is higher. Some extra candidates are probably missing because of extinction effects. Still, these presumably young starbursts seem to be quite less than  $\sim 10\%$  of our galaxy sample. This fact suggests that the onset of the IR phase within  $\sim 10^7$  yr after the burst might be indeed a rare phenomenon. Of course, given the optical magnitude cut of the zCOSMOS survey, we cannot exclude that IR galaxies at the very earliest stages of a rejuvenating starburst are more common among optically faint sources.

The low fraction of very young starbursts among IR-selected galaxies is a known phenomenon in the local universe. Roussel et al. (2006) studied the case of NGC 1377, an extremely young ( $\lesssim 1$  Myr) local starburst, selected for being an outlier in the far-IR/radio correlation. These authors note that such galaxies represent  $\sim 1\%$  of faint *IRAS* galaxies. In contrast to our cases, the optical spectrum of NGC 1377 shows very weak [O II]  $\lambda$ 3727, [O III]  $\lambda$ 5007, and H $\beta$   $\lambda$ 4861 emission, due to dust obscuration. The mid-IR spectrum of this source, instead, is dominated by molecular hydrogen H $_2$  lines, indicating a young reservoir of star formation.

In a forthcoming paper we will analyze the presence of young starburst candidates within the deeper 24  $\mu$ m galaxy sample for the COSMOS field up to higher redshifts. This will allow us to

constrain whether a fainter IR phase is more often associated with these sources at the earliest stages of star formation.

## 11. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In this work we have presented the results of the study of zCOSMOS optical spectra for 609 24  $\mu$ m galaxies with  $S_{24 \mu\text{m}} > 0.30$  mJy, selected over 1.5 deg $^2$  of the COSMOS field. This is the most extensive analysis of the spectra of a very large sample of mid-IR galaxies analyzed to date that covers the redshift ranges  $0 < z \lesssim 1$  and  $0 < z \lesssim 3$  for normal galaxies and AGNs, respectively.

Depending on the lines present in the spectra, we made different diagnostics for sources at different redshifts. As expected, at low ( $z < 0.3$ ) redshifts, our sample is dominated by IR-normal galaxies (in our classification, strictly,  $\nu L_{\nu}^{24 \mu\text{m}} < 10^{10} L_{\odot}$ ). The optical spectra of these sources display a large variety of emission-line EWs and ratios. This is in part due to a spatial sampling effect because the 1'' width VIMOS slit maps only the central region within each galaxy, which in some cases is not representative of the entire galaxy. The same effect has been observed in the study of low-redshift *IRAS* galaxy optical spectra. But the variety of spectral characteristics also reveals the different physical conditions of the hosts of normal IR galaxies. This class includes galaxies with different degrees of chemical evolution and already assembled stellar masses: from some low-mass, low-metallicity galaxies to many already massive, chemically enriched galaxies.

At higher redshifts, we studied the variation of the ionization levels in different luminosity IR galaxies, through their [O III]  $\lambda$ 5007/H $\beta$   $\lambda$ 4861 line ratios. We found that the average [O III]  $\lambda$ 5007/H $\beta$   $\lambda$ 4861 ratio for  $\nu L_{\nu}^{24 \mu\text{m}} > 10^{11} L_{\odot}$  galaxies at  $z \sim 0.6-0.7$  is more than 0.6 dex higher than the average ratio of all zCOSMOS galaxies at similar redshifts. This allows us to conclude that ULIRGs have distinct optical spectral properties when compared to typical optically selected sources. In particular, for some of our galaxies, we find very high  $\log_{10}([\text{O III}] \lambda 5007/\text{H}\beta \lambda 4861) > 0.5$  ratios, comparable to those of submillimeter galaxies at  $z > 1.5$ . These are cases where massive star formation and narrow-line AGN activity are likely to coexist. Near- or mid-IR spectroscopy is necessary to provide further information on the ionization source in these galaxies.

Around  $\frac{1}{3}$  of the galaxies for which we could derive metallicities at  $0.5 < z < 0.7$  are unambiguously below the general mass-metallicity relation at the corresponding redshift. Although, in some cases, the plausible presence of an AGN could affect the derived metallicities, this is probably not the main cause of

the discrepancies with the mass-metallicity relation. Instead, gas mixing due to inflows and outflows during the IR phase could temporarily move sources out of the mass-metallicity trend (see, e.g., Rupke et al. 2008).

The comparison of optical emission line derived and fiducial (IR+UV) SFRs indicates that IR galaxies are generally quite affected by extinction. SFRs derived from the extincted  $H\alpha$   $\lambda$ 6563 and  $H\beta$   $\lambda$ 4861 lines underestimate the real SFRs, on average, by factors of  $\sim 5$  and  $\sim 10$ , respectively. We note, however, that these discrepancies are expected to be maximal for the most luminous IR galaxies. In other galaxies, the  $H\alpha$   $\lambda$ 6563 or  $H\beta$   $\lambda$ 4861 luminosities should constitute more reliable SFR estimators.

Also from our spectroscopic analysis, we found that it is difficult to explain the properties of many IR galaxies at  $z < 1$  with a constant SFH. Instead, an SFH characterized by secondary bursts of star formation can simultaneously explain the blue continuum and relatively small  $H\delta$  EW observed in most of these sources (see also Hammer et al. 2005). These results also constrain the epoch of IR activity: the LIRG and ULIRG phases for star-forming galaxies occur, on average, between  $10^7$  and  $10^8$  yr after the onset of the secondary burst. Most of the hosts of intense IR activity at  $0.6 < z < 1.0$  have older underlying stellar populations and have assembled most of their stellar mass in the past (Caputi et al. 2006b). The very short times for the onset of the IR phase after the burst also suggest that the dust being heated during these rejuvenating episodes of star formation must be “old dust,” i.e., dust produced by AGB stars from previous stellar generations. All these conclusions are valid in general for all the galaxies we have analyzed here, independently of their IR luminosity and redshift, suggesting that the way in which star formation proceeds is basically the same in most of these systems (i.e., independently of the mechanism triggering the burst).

Finally,  $\sim 10\%$  of our galaxies are recognized as BLAGNs from their zCOSMOS spectra. Another  $\sim 5\%$ – $6\%$  are X-ray-luminous AGNs with narrow-line spectra. These figures are consistent with

the fraction of AGN-dominated IR sources found in the literature (e.g., Alonso-Herrero et al. 2006; Caputi et al. 2006a, 2006b, 2007). However, AGN activity might also be present in some other galaxies, as suggested by their high ionization levels or IRAC power-law SEDs. The exact fraction of AGNs and AGN/star-forming composite systems among IR galaxies is still far from being clear. In the future, very deep X-ray data and far-IR data obtained with the *Herschel* telescope will be available for the COSMOS field. Both data sets analyzed in conjunction should help to put stronger constraints on the AGN contribution to the IR background.

The results obtained in this paper offer some important clues of the role of the IR phase in SFH and galaxy evolution, mainly at  $z < 1$ . IR sources are the signposts of the most intense star formation activity and ongoing buildup of stellar mass. But the IR phase is a kind of a transitory state in which some galaxy properties (e.g., the strength of the 4000 Å break and, in some cases, the metallicity) are perturbed from their regular values in the IR-inactive galaxy life. This should be kept in mind when considering IR sources for a census of galaxy properties, as the values measured for some of these properties could be well apart from those that will hold at long term once the IR phase has faded out.

This paper is based on observations made with VIMOS on the Melipal-VLT telescope, undertaken at the European Southern Observatory (ESO) under Large Program 175.A-0839. Also based on observations made with the *Spitzer* Observatory, which is operated by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under NASA contract 1407.

We thank our referee Jonathan Gardner for useful comments and suggestions. We are grateful to Gustavo Bruzual and Stéphane Charlot for making available the latest version of their synthetic SED template library.

## REFERENCES

- Alonso-Herrero, A., et al. 2006, *ApJ*, 640, 167  
 Antonucci, R. 1993, *ARA&A*, 31, 473  
 Asplund, M., Grevesse, N., Sauval, A. J., Allende Prieto, C., & Kiselman, D. 2004, *A&A*, 417, 751  
 Aussel, H., Cesarsky, C. J., Elbaz, D., & Starck, J. L. 1999, *A&A*, 342, 313  
 Baldwin, J. A., Phillips, M. M., & Terlevich, R. 1981, *PASP*, 93, 5  
 Balogh, M. L., Morris, S. L., Yee, H. K. C., Carlberg, R. G., & Ellingson, E. 1999, *ApJ*, 527, 54  
 Barnes, J. E., & Hernquist, L. 1996, *ApJ*, 471, 115  
 Bavouzet, N., Dole, H., Le Floc'h, E., Caputi, K. I., Lagache, G., & Kochanek, C. 2008, *A&A*, 479, 83  
 Brooks, A., et al. 2007, *ApJ*, 655, L17  
 Bruzual, G. 2007, in *ASP Conf. Ser. 374, From Stars to Galaxies: Building the Pieces to Build Up the Universe*, ed. A. Vallenari et al. (San Francisco: ASP), 303  
 Bruzual, G., & Charlot, S. 2003, *MNRAS*, 344, 1000  
 Buat, V., Boselli, A., Gavazzi, G., & Bonfanti, C. 2002, *A&A*, 383, 801  
 Buat, V., et al. 2007, *ApJS*, 173, 404  
 Calzetti, D., Armus, L., Bohlin, R. C., Kinney, A. L., Koornneef, J., & Storchi-Bergmann, T. 2000, *ApJ*, 533, 682  
 Capak, P., et al. 2007, *ApJS*, 172, 99  
 Caputi, K. I., et al. 2006a, *A&A*, 454, 143  
 ———. 2006b, *ApJ*, 637, 727  
 ———. 2007, *ApJ*, 660, 97  
 Charlot, S., & Longhetti, M. 2001, *MNRAS*, 323, 887  
 Chary, R., & Elbaz, D. 2001, *ApJ*, 556, 562  
 Choi, P. I., et al. 2006, *ApJ*, 637, 227  
 Contini, T., Treyer, M. A., Sullivan, M., & Ellis, R. S. 2002, *MNRAS*, 330, 75  
 Contini, T., et al. 2008, *ApJ*, submitted  
 Daddi, E., et al. 2005, *ApJ*, 631, L13  
 Dalcanton, J. J. 2007, *ApJ*, 658, 941  
 Dale, D. A., & Helou, G. 2002, *ApJ*, 576, 159  
 Dale, D. A., et al. 2005, *ApJ*, 633, 857  
 Dole, H., et al. 2006, *A&A*, 451, 417  
 Dressler, A., & Gunn, J. E. 1983, *ApJ*, 270, 7  
 Dwek, E. 2005, in *AIP Conf. Proc. 804, Planetary Nebulae as Astronomical Tools*, ed. R. Szczerba, G. Stansinska, & S. K. Górny (Melville: AIP), 197  
 Ellison, S., & Kewley, L. 2006, in *The Fabulous Destiny of Galaxies: Bridging Past and Present*, ed. V. Le Brun et al. (Paris: Frontier Group), 53  
 Elvis, M., et al. 1994, *ApJS*, 95, 1  
 Fazio, G. G., et al. 2004, *ApJS*, 154, 10  
 Feldmann, R., et al. 2006, *MNRAS*, 372, 565  
 Fitzpatrick, E. L. 1999, *PASP*, 111, 63  
 Flores, H., et al. 1999, *ApJ*, 517, 148  
 ———. 2004, *A&A*, 415, 885  
 Franceschini, A., Aussel, H., Cesarsky, C. J., Elbaz, D., & Fadda, D. 2001, *A&A*, 378, 1  
 Franceschini, A., et al. 2003, *A&A*, 403, 501  
 Hammer, F., et al. 2005, *A&A*, 430, 115  
 Hasinger, G., et al. 2007, *ApJS*, 172, 29  
 Kauffmann, G., et al. 2003a, *MNRAS*, 341, 33  
 ———. 2003b, *MNRAS*, 346, 1055  
 Kennicutt, R. C., Jr. 1998, *ARA&A*, 36, 189  
 Kennicutt, R. C., Jr., Keel, W. C., & Blaha, C. A. 1989, *AJ*, 97, 1022  
 Kewley, L. J., & Dopita, M. A. 2002, *ApJS*, 142, 35  
 Kewley, L. J., Heisler, C. A., Dopita, M. A., & Lumsden, S. 2001, *ApJS*, 132, 37  
 Kim, D.-C., Sanders, D. B., Veilleux, S., Mazzarella, J. M., & Soifer, B. T. 1995, *ApJS*, 98, 129  
 Kobulnicky, H. A., Kennicutt, R. C., Jr., & Pizagno, J. L. 1999, *ApJ*, 514, 544  
 Kobulnicky, H. A., et al. 2003, *ApJ*, 599, 1006  
 Lagache, G., Dole, H., & Puget, J.-L. 2003, *MNRAS*, 338, 555  
 Lagache, G., et al. 2004, *ApJS*, 154, 112  
 Lamareille, F., Mouhcine, M., Contini, T., Lewis, I., & Maddox, S. 2004, *MNRAS*, 350, 396

- Le Fèvre, O., et al. 2003, *Proc. SPIE*, 4841, 1670
- Le Floc'h, E., et al. 2005, *ApJ*, 632, 169
- Liang, Y. C., Hammer, F., Flores, H., Elbaz, D., Marcillac, D., & Cesarsky, C. J. 2004, *A&A*, 423, 867
- Lilly, S. J., Carollo, C. M., & Stockton, A. N. 2003, *ApJ*, 597, 730
- Lilly, S. J., et al. 1995, *ApJ*, 455, 50
- . 2007, *ApJS*, 172, 70
- Maier, C., Lilly, S. J., Carollo, C. M., Stockton, A., & Brodwin, M. 2005, *ApJ*, 634, 849
- Marcillac, D., et al. 2006, *A&A*, 458, 369
- Matsuhara, H., et al. 2006, *PASJ*, 58, 673
- Moustakas, J., Kennicutt, R. C., Jr., & Tremonti, C. A. 2006, *ApJ*, 642, 775
- Osterbrock, D. E. 1989, *Astrophysics of Gaseous Nebulae and Active Galactic Nuclei* (Mill Valley: University Science Books)
- Pagel, B. E. J., Edmunds, M. G., Blackwell, D. E., Chun, M. S., & Smith, G. 1979, *MNRAS*, 189, 95
- Papovich, C., et al. 2006a, *AJ*, 132, 231
- . 2006b, *ApJ*, 640, 92
- Pettini, M., & Pagel, B. E. J. 2004, *MNRAS*, 348, L59
- Prévot, M. L., Lequeux, J., Prévot, L., Maurice, E., & Rocca-Volmerange, B. 1984, *A&A*, 132, 389
- Puget, J.-L., et al. 1996, *A&A*, 308, L5
- Rieke, G. H., et al. 2004, *ApJS*, 154, 25
- Rocca-Volmerange, B., de Lapparent, V., Seymour, N., & Fioc, M. 2007, *A&A*, 475, 801
- Roussel, H., et al. 2006, *ApJ*, 646, 841
- Rowan-Robinson, M., et al. 2005, *AJ*, 129, 1183
- Rupke, D. S. N., Veilleux, S., & Baker, A. J. 2008, *ApJ*, 674, 172
- Salpeter, E. E. 1955, *ApJ*, 121, 161
- Sanders, D. B., & Mirabel, I. F. 1996, *ARA&A*, 34, 749
- Sanders, D. B., et al. 2007, *ApJS*, 172, 86
- Schaerer, D., Contini, T., & Pindao, M. 1999, *A&AS*, 136, 35
- Schiminovich, D., et al. 2007, *ApJS*, 173, 315
- Scodreggio, M., et al. 2005, *PASP*, 117, 1284
- Scoville, N., et al. 2007, *ApJS*, 172, 1
- Takagi, T., et al. 2007, *PASJ*, 59, 557
- Takata, T., et al. 2006, *ApJ*, 651, 713
- Tissera, P. B., De Rossi, M. E., & Scannapieco, C. 2005, *MNRAS*, 364, L38
- Treister, E., et al. 2006, *ApJ*, 640, 603
- Tremonti, C. A., et al. 2004, *ApJ*, 613, 898
- Veilleux, S., Kim, D.-C., & Sanders, D. B. 1999, *ApJ*, 522, 113
- Veilleux, S., Kim, D.-C., Sanders, D. B., Mazzarella, J. M., & Soifer, B. T. 1995, *ApJS*, 98, 171
- Werner, M. W., et al. 2004, *ApJS*, 154, 1
- Zaritsky, D., Kennicutt, R. C., Jr., & Huchra, J. P. 1994, *ApJ*, 420, 87